| AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY / STAFF REPOR | ITEM NO: | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORENCE PLANNING COMMISSION | Meeting Date: | December 12, 2023 |
| ITEM TITLE: PC 2325 PUD 01 - Myrtle Glenn - 37th and Oak Final PUD Application |  |  |
| OVERVIEW: |  |  |
| Background: The subject property is located for a proposed 25 lot PUD that proposes 25 on-site parking, open space and associated June 13 and June 22 of 2023 regarding the review applications. The Planning Commiss on Resolution PC 2221 PUD 01, PC 2223 S for final PUD approval. This review process the conditions of approval from the Resolution | nd Oak St inter ings (SUDs) on in construction. <br>  | n. This is an applicat dual lots with additio c hearings were held hase 1 site investigat ions of approval as s application is a requ hat regulates PUDs 248 SIR 13. |

Application: This application was received August 29, 2023 with a Notice of Incompleteness issued September 27, 2023. Additional application materials and addendum were received October 16 and November 20, 2023 and the application was then deemed complete. This application is a request for a final PUD review on a 3.13 -acre lot located west of the $37^{\text {th }}$ and Oak St. intersection. The proposal includes 25 individual lots for attached single unit dwellings, each with an attached garage and rear porch and deck. This proposal includes the development of $37^{\text {th }}$ St. west of Oak St that will be developed to local street standards with a public utility easement (PUE) on each side to accommodate a 5 -foot-wide sidewalk and utilities. There is also a proposed common parking area located between the dwelling units and $37^{\text {th }}$ St. that will provide 13 off-street parking spaces and storm water facilities.

Process and Review: This application includes the request for review and approval of the final PUD only. PUDs are a Type III Quasi-Judicial review process.

During staff review the majority of the PUD conditions were satisfied by the application materials submitted. However, there were 4 conditions of approval that were found to not be not satisfied. These include noncompliant parking lot striping details (Condition 1) and a lack of an inventory of trees proposed to be retained along the northern property line and buffer (Conditions 3 \& 4). These two items can be satisfied by conditions of approval. The other two items that were found to not be satisfied were conditions 7.1 and 7.7 related to variations in facades and exterior building designs of each building grouping and development of recreational open space that meets the requirements of the condition and FCC 10-23-5-G. These latter two conditions require additional review and consideration from the Planning Commission.

The Resolution, Findings of Fact and application materials are attached to this AIS. The applicable criteria are listed in the "Applicable Criteria" section of the findings. The review of this application material will consider both relevant Code criteria as well as review the application against the conditions of approval related to the preliminary PUD from the previous resolution.

Testimony: No written testimony was received for this application.

Referral comments: Referral requests were sent during the preliminary PUD and tentative subdivision application. A referral request was sent to the City of Florence Public Works Department September 11, 2023. They responded that the majority of their comments from the previous application had been adequately addressed with the submitted application materials. No addition referrals were deemed necessary to send.

ISSUES/DECISION POINTS:

The proposed final PUD for Myrtle Glen has met the majority of the conditions of approval as required by PC 2221 PUD 01 (Exhibit K). The conditions listed below have not been satisfied and are either conditioned to be addressed (shown with a single bullet) or require Planning Commission to provide final conditions or decisions (shown with an arrowhead bullet):
4.4 Double line stripping of $2^{\prime}$ on center in the common parking area has not been satisfied. (FOF, pages 8)

- Revised plans indicating the required striping has been conditioned (Condition 1).
7.1 Additional clarification is required for variations in facades and exterior designs for each building group as conditioned. The applicant has proposed a paint color palette consisting of three earth toned colors used in three combinations and an application of horizontal lap siding on the garage gables on the 4 -unit building and shingle styled siding on the 3 -unit buildings. (FOF, pages 11-15)
> Planning Commission is tasked with clarifying the requirements in Condition 7.1 for the specific number of façade and exterior design details required for the individual building groupings.
> Planning Commission is tasked with determining if the use of alternating paint combinations (Exhibit I) meets the requirement of this code.
> Planning Commission is tasked with determining whether differing façade materials of the 4 -unit buildings and the 3 -unit buildings or if each separate building is required to have changes in facades and exterior designs as stated in the condition.
7.3 An inventory of trees proposed to be retained along the norther property line has not been submitted. A minimum of 22 trees are required to be retained. Staff was not provided with sufficient evidence to review this. (FOF, pages 15-17)
- An inventory (Condition 4) and required maintenance/replacement (Condition 3) of trees has been conditioned.
7.7 Sufficient details have not been submitted in the recreational open space plans (Exhibit E) to support what recreational need is proposed to be met. Sufficient details have not been submitted for staff to determine the quality of proposed amenities. Nor was the quality or quantity portion of the condition met. (FOF, pages 20-24)
> Planning Commission is being tasked with identifying the intended recreational needs these spaces shall be developed to meet and the amenities that shall be provided, or
> Planning Commission may require a fee-in-lieu for recreational space development.


## ALTERNATIVES:

1. Recommend approval of PC 2325 PUD 01, based on the Commissions' findings that the application meets the requirements of City Code subject to conditions,
2. Recommend denial of PC 2325 PUD 01 based on the Commissions' findings that the application does not meet the requirements of City Code.
3. Recommend partial approval of PC 2325 PUD 01 based on the Commissions' findings that the application for modifications meets some, but not all requirements of City Code.
4. Continue deliberations \& continue hearing to a date certain if additional information is required to issue a decision.

The recommendation is for Alternative 4, continue deliberations and continue hearing to
RECOMMENDATION: a date certain if a waiver of the 120-day processing period is provided by the applicant.

This will provide additional time for the applicant to provide additional information as requested by the Planning Commissions for the remaining unsatisfied conditions.

## Wendy FarleyCampbell, Community Development Director

AIS PREPARED BY: Clare Kurth, Assistant Planner

## ATTACHMENTS: \#1-Resolution PC 2325 PUD 01 draft

- Exhibit A Findings of Fact (proposed)
- Exhibit B Narrative \& Application
- Exhibit C Attachment 1-Civil Plans
- Exhibit D Attachment 2-Architectural Plans
- Exhibit E Attachment 3-Landscaping Plans
- Exhibit F Attachment 4-Stormwater Management Report
- Exhibit G Addendum to Myrtle Glen Final PUD Addressing NOIC
- Exhibit H Attachment 5-Architectural Plans for Triplexes
- Exhibit I Attachment 6-Paint Colors Plan
- Exhibit J Attachment 7 - Proposed Design vs Old Town ... Standards
- Exhibit K Resolution PC 2221 PUD 01, PC 2223 SUB 02, \& SR 2248 SIR 13
- Exhibit L Civil Plans with Public Works Comments

Meeting Date: December 12, 2023 Planner: Clare Kurth \& Wendy FarleyCampbell
Application: PC 2325 PUD 01: Myrtle Glen PUD

## I. PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Proposal: A request to review and approve the Myrtle Glen final PUD application.

$$
\text { Applicants: } \quad \text { William Johnson Construction Inc. }
$$

Representative: Hailey Sheldon, Sheldon Planning
Property Owner: David J Bielenberg
Location: Map Reference 18-12-22-11, Tax Lot 1200 and 200
North west and west of the intersection of $37^{\text {th }}$ Street and Oak Street.
Comprehensive Plan Map Designation: High Density Residential
Zone Map Classification: High Density Residential

## Surrounding Land Use/Zoning

Site: undeveloped / High Density Residential (HDR)
North: $\quad$ Single Unit Dwellings (SUDs), Detached / HDR
South: Undeveloped \& SUDs, Detached / HDR
East: $\quad$ SUDs, Detached / HDR and Highway District
West: $\quad$ High-Intensity Recreation - Golf Course cart shed and city water tanks / HDR and Open Space

## Streets/ Classification:

North - none ; East - Oak Street / Collector ; South - 37 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street / undeveloped ; West none

## II. NARRATIVE

Tentative PUD and subdivision for the proposed Myrtle Glen Subdivision was approved by the Florence Planning Commission June 22, 2023. This proposal is for a 25 -unit subdivision consisting of 7 buildings, 5 of which are proposed to be four attached housing units and the other 2 buildings are proposed to be three attached housing units. These are attached single-unit dwellings that will each be on an individual lot. In addition to platting the dwelling units the $37^{\text {th }}$ Street ROW is proposed to be platted and constructed to local street standards with sidewalks and stormwater facilities on both sides of the street. This development also includes an on-site parking lot that adds an additional 13 parking spaces.

The tentative subdivision and preliminary PUD review criteria for this project were reviewed under Resolution PC 2221 PUD 01, PC 2223 SUB02, and SR 2248 SIR 13. These Findings of Fact will review the Final PUD application against FCC 10-23 criteria
related to final PUD application and against the Conditions of Approvals from Resolution PC 2221 PUD 01, PC 2223 SUB02, and SR 2248 SIR 13 as they relate to the final PUD portion of this development project only.

## III. REFERRALS

## Referrals:

The Final PUD application including Stormwater Management Report \& Calculations, civil plans, architectural plans, and applicant narratives were sent to the City of Florence Public Works on September 11, 2023 for review and comment. At the time of the writing of these Findings comments were not received by the City Community Development Department.

Other agency referrals were sent during the preliminary PUD review and comments were reviewed during that process and conditioned as necessary in Resolution PC 2221 PUD 01, PC 2223 SUB02, and SR 2248 SIR 13. As these comments have previously been reviewed and conditioned as necessary additional referrals were not deemed necessary to send.

## IV. APPLICABLE REVIEW CRITERIA

## Florence City Code:

## Title 10: Zoning Regulations

Chapter 1: Zoning Administration, Sections 1-4, 1-5, \& 1-6-3
Chapter 3: Off-Street Parking, Section 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, \& 10
Chapter 10: Residential Districts, Sections 2, 4, 5, \& 7
Chapter 23: Planned Unit Development, Sections 2, 4, 5, 6, \& 11 through 14
Chapter 34: Landscaping, Section 2 through 5
Chapter 35: Access and Circulation, Sections 2 through 3
Chapter 36: Public Facilities, Sections 2 through 9
Chapter 37: Lighting, Sections 2, 3, 4B, \& $5 R$
Title 9: Utilities
Chapter 5: Stormwater Management, Sections 1 through 7
Resolution PC 2221 PUD 01 - Myrtle Glen, Preliminary PUD—Conditions of Approval V. FINDINGS

The criteria are listed in bold followed by the findings of fact.

## FLORENCE CITY CODE- TITLE 10: CHAPTER 23 PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS (PUD)

Portions of Sections 4 and 5 below are added for ease of reference when reviewing open space findings.

10-23-2: DEFINITIONS: As used in this chapter, the following words shall mean:

NET DEVELOPMENT AREA: Area of property exclusive of public or private roads, or parkland.

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS: Improvements that include utilities, parklands, and facilities that will be dedicated to the public and maintained by the City.

10-23-4: GENERAL CRITERIA: Applicant must demonstrate that the development conforms to all the following criteria:
B. The location, design and size are such that the development can be well integrated with its surroundings or will adequately reduce the impact where there is a departure from the character of adjacent land uses.
D. The location, design, size and land uses are such that the residents or establishments to be accommodated will be adequately served by existing or planned utilities and services.
E. The location, design, size and uses will result in an attractive, healthful, efficient and stable environment.

10-23-5: DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: To insure that a PUD fulfills the intent of this Chapter, the following standards and those of FCC 10-36 shall apply.
G. Open Space: A minimum of $20 \%$ of the net development area shall be open space and must be platted for that purpose. (Easements are not acceptable). At least $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ of the $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ shall include an area designated and intended for recreation use and enjoyment. The required recreation area may be provided as:

- Public dedication for use by public in general, and/or
- Property owned by the Home Owners Association (or other legal entity) for use by residents of the development.

The recreational area is required to be developed to satisfy one or more recreational needs identified in the latest Florence Parks and Recreation Master Plan. If the Master Plan or Comprehensive Plan shows a need for public recreation area in the location of the PUD (such as a trail connection or neighborhood park), the recreation area shall be dedicated to the public. If the recreation area is not meeting a need for public recreation, the city may choose not to accept dedication of the recreation area. (Ord. No. 2, Series 2011)

1. Open space will be suitably improved for its intended use, except that common open space (outside the required $25 \%$ of recreation use area) containing natural features worthy of preservation may be left unimproved. The buildings, structures and improvements to be permitted in the common open spaces shall be appropriate to the uses, which are authorized for the open space.
2. The development schedule which is part of the development plan shall coordinate the improvement of the open space and the construction of buildings and other structures in the open space with the construction of residential dwellings in the planned unit development.
3. If buildings, structures or other improvements are to be made in the open space, City may require that the development provide a bond or other adequate assurance that the buildings, structures and improvements will be completed. In this case, the City Council shall release the bond or other assurances when the buildings, structures and other improvements have been completed according to the development plan.
4. The following areas are not acceptable for recreation area required as part of a PUD: (Ord. No. 2, Series 2011)
a. Hillsides over twenty-five (25) percent slope;
b. Land in the floodway, floodplain, or required riparian or wetland buffer, unless trails, benches, picnic tables and similar above are incorporated;
c. Roadside ditches;
d. Monument entry areas and central landscaped boulevards;
e. Stormwater retention or detention ponds that are designed to hold stormwater runoff from less than one hundred (100) year events;
f. Parking areas and road rights-of-way that are located within the parkland, open space, or common area, except for parking that is required specifically for use of the parkland;
g. Yards, court areas, setbacks, or other open areas required by the zoning and building ordinances and regulations shall not be included in the computation.

## 10-23-11: APPROVAL OF THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN:

1. Within one year following the approval of the preliminary development plan, the applicant shall file with the Planning Commission a final development plan containing in final form the information required in the preliminary plan. The Planning Commission may grant a one-time extension of one (1) year maximum duration based on compliance with the following criteria:
a. The request for an extension is made in writing prior to the expiration of the original approval.
b. There are special or unusual circumstances that exist which warrant an extension.
c. No material changes of surrounding land uses or zoning has occurred.

The planning Commission may deny the request for an extension if new land use regulations have been adopted that affect the applicant's proposal.

Preliminary PUD approval was granted June 26, 2023 and the applicant filed the application for final PUD on August 29, 2023. Criterion met.
2. Final development plans shall include plans for proposed:
a. Storm drainage.
b. Sewer and water utilities.
c. Streets, pedestrian ways, trails and paths.
d. Preliminary subdivision plan, if property is proposed to be divided.
e. Open Space and Parklands to be dedicated to the public or held in Homeowner Association ownership. (Ord. No. 2, Series 2011)
3. Plans for public improvements shall be prepared by a Registered Engineer and shall be approved by City staff before final approval by the Planning Commission.
4. If the Planning Commission finds evidence of a material deviation from the preliminary development plan, the Planning Commission shall advise the applicant to submit an application for amendment of the planned unit development. An amendment shall be considered in the same manner as an original application.

The application included engineered and stamped plans for public and private improvements for stormwater, utilities, and streets. The application included plans for open space to be held in HOA ownership. The application does not include final subdivision plat review. Criteria met.

10-23-12: ADHERENCE TO APPROVED PLAN: The final development plan shall continue to control the planned unit development after it is finished and the following shall apply:

1. The use of the land and the construction, modification or alteration of a building or structure within the planned unit development shall be governed by the approved final development plan.
2. An amendment to a completed planned unit development may be approved if it is required for the continued success of the planned unit development, if it is appropriate because of changes in condition that have occurred since the final development plan was approved or because there have been changes in the development policy of the community as reflected by the comprehensive plan or related land use regulations.
3. No modification or amendment to a completed planned unit development is to be considered as a waiver of the covenants limiting the use of the land, buildings, structures and improvements within the area of the planned unit development.

This criterion is for reference and is not applicable at this time. However, in as much as the findings accept and regulate architectural and open space proposals. those decisions are monumented under these findings and are required to followed in perpetuity unless a revision is sought by the HOA and granted by the Planning Commission.

10-23-13: GUARANTEE OF PERFORMANCE: For public improvements, the City may require that a cash deposit, surety bond or other similar guarantee be posted to insure
the full and faithful performance by the parties involved, not to exceed a period of two years after required improvements are completed.

If the applicant requests final plat signing prior to installation of all public improvements then a bond or similar will be required. (Informational)

## 10-23-14: EXPIRATION OF APPROVAL FOR A PUD:

A. If the PUD includes creation of a subdivision, and approval of the subdivision has expired or is rejected as provided in Chapter 11-4 of this Code, the PUD approval is revoked as of the expiration or rejection date for the proposed subdivision.
B. If substantial construction or development of the PUD has not occurred in accordance with the approved final development schedule, said approval shall lapse at 18 months from the date of approval and shall no longer be in effect. The Planning Commission may, upon showing of good cause by applicant, extend approval for a period not to exceed 18 months.

The final subdivision plat is required to be completed by June of 2025, unless an extension is sought by the applicant and granted by the city. If this occurs the applicant should request an extension of the PUD for the 18 months offered.

## RESOLUTION PC 2221 PUD, PC 2223 SUB 02, and SR 2248 SIR 13

1. Any modifications to the approved plans or changes of use, except those changes relating to Building Codes, will require approval by the Community Development Director or Planning Commission/Design Review Board.
2. Regardless of the content of material presented for this Planning Commission, including application text and exhibits, staff reports, testimony and/or discussions, the applicant agrees to comply with all regulations and requirements of the Florence City Code which are current on this date, EXCEPT where variance or deviation from such regulations and requirements has been specifically approved by formal Planning Commission action as documented by the records of this decision and/or the associated Conditions of Approval. The applicant shall submit to the Community Development Department a signed "Agreement of Acceptance" of all conditions of approval.
3. Upon encountering any cultural or historic resources during construction, the applicant shall immediately contact the State Historic Preservation Office and the Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw Indians. Construction shall cease immediately and shall not continue until permitted by either a SHPO or CTCLUSI representative.

FCC 10-3:
4.1 The shared/common parking area shall meet grading requirements so as not to drain stormwater over public ROWs. Parking lot surfacing shall not encroach upon public ROWs. This shall be in accordance with FCC 10-3-8-C. This shall be included on a detailed parking lot plan prior to or in conjunction with first building
permits and subject to Public Works and Community Development Department approval.

Attachment 1, Sheet C6 (Exhibit C) labeled Overall Site Grading Plan and Cross Sections illustrates the site will be graded to direct the flow of stormwater into the stormwater facilities and not over public ROWs. This plan does not indicate that any proposed parking lot surfacing will encroach on to public ROWs. This Condition is satisfied.
4.2 Parking spaces shall be screened with evergreen shrubs that reach a minimum 36 inches in height above parking lot grade level at maturity so that headlights do not shine onto adjacent residential uses and zones in accordance with FCC 10-3-8-D and FCC 10-34-3-7. Stormwater facility plantings in the $37^{\text {th }}$ St ROW may meet this screening requirement or this requirement may be met through fencing installed between parking spaces and sidewalk/PUE. The screening plan shall be included in the final landscaping/stormwater facility planting with a proposed schedule of planting at final PUD.

Details of the planting areas abutting the parking area that will screen headlights from the parking lot are included on Attachment 3, Sheets L2 and L3 (Exhibit 3). These planting areas abutting the parking are proposed to be planted with Escallonia from 3 / 5-gallon containers. According to the Tree and Plant List for the City of Florence these plants reach a height and diameter of 5 feet, are evergreen plants, and are the recommended planting size. These plants meet and the landscaping plans for these areas are in compliance with FCC 10-3-8-D, FCC 10-$34-3-7$, and meet the requirements of this Condition. Note this five-foot screening area is located within a five-foot PUE easement and has multiple waste water and water service lines extending perpendicular through it. Any damage of the landscape buffer resulting from the repair or replacement of any utilities shall result in a replacement of the buffer by the HOA with the same species in 3 or 5 -gallon containers. Effort should be made to preserve the buffer species for replanting prior to performing any work on the utilities. This Condition is satisfied.
4.3 The shared/common parking area shall be in compliance with FCC 10-3-8-E. A curb or wheel stop of not less than 6 inches shall be installed abutting streets and interior lot lines to prevent encroachment onto adjacent private property, public walkways, sidewalks, or minimum landscaped area required in accordance with FCC 10-3-8-E2.

Attachment 1, Sheet C9 (Exhibit C) includes details of the precast wheel stop details. The wheel stops details state they will be 6.75 " minimum and 7 " in height maximum and are proposed to be placed 2 ft . from the end of the parking stall. The wheel stops as proposed are anticipated to be sufficient at prevent encroachment into landscaping or pedestrian walkway. This Condition is satisfied.


4.4 A complete parking lot plan in accordance with FCC 10-3-8 K and FCC 10-3-8-L shall be submitted prior to or in conjunction with final PUD shall include the following items not previously submitted:

- Curb cut dimensions

Attachment 1, civil plans (Exhibit C). Dimensions meet requirements.

- Dimensions, continuity, and substance of screening

Attachment 3, Sheets L2 and L3 of the landscaping plans (Exhibit E).

- Grading, drainage, surfacing, and sub grading details

Attachment 1, Sheet 6 meets this requirement (Exhibit C).

- Specifications for signs, bumper guards, and curbs Attachment 1, Sheet C9 (Exhibit C) and discussed under Condition 4.3
- Each Space shall have double line striping with two feet wide on center
Applicant narrative states that this is satisfied on Attachment 1, Sheet C9 (Exhibit C). This sheet includes details for a 24 " wide white reflective paint stripe, appearing to propose a single stripe rather than double lined as required by the Condition or in accordance with FCC 10-3-9-B.

```
LEGEND
    (2)
                        NUMBER OF PARKING SPACES
            4* WIDE WHITE REFLECTIVE PAINT STRIPE
\square 24" WIDE WHITE REIFLECTIVE PAINT STRIPE
```

- The width of any striping line in an approved parking area shall be a minimum 4" wide Included on Attachment 1, Sheet C9

Summary: This condition has been satisfied with the civil plans included in Attachment 1, with the exception of the required double lined striping for each parking space. This was likely an oversite or error that had the intention of meeting all above criteria. A revised site plan indicating required 4" line striping that is 2' wide on center shall be submitted to the City Community Development Department prior to, or in conjunction with building permits as required in accordance with FCC 10-3-9. The double line striping shall be confirmed during onsite inspections prior to Certificate of Occupancy of the first building grouping (Condition 1). This Condition has been conditioned to be met.

### 4.5 In accordance with FCC 10-37-4 the City shall have a 30-day review period starting the day following the final Certificate of Occupancy to evaluate and request

adjustments to illumination levels based on staff inspections and public comments. The application shall be permitted to have decreased illumination levels onsite of 1-foot candles versus 2 required in FCC 10-37-4-B.

In accordance with this Condition of Approval, the City shall have a 30-day review period following the final Certificate of Occupancy to evaluate illumination levels on site. This Condition is not being reviewed, or applicable in these findings.

FCC 10-7:
5.1 The area has Yaquina soils which are known for high ground water. Therefore, the applicant shall record a Covenant of Release which outlines the hazard, restrictions and/or conditions that apply to the property as outlined in subsection (D) of FCC 10-7-7, Review and Use of Site Investigation Report, and shall state "The applicant recognizes and accepts that this approval is strictly limited to a determination that the project as described and conditioned herein meets the land use provisions and development standards of the City Code and Comprehensive Plan current as of this date. This approval makes no judgement or guarantee as to the functional or structural adequacy, suitability for purpose, safety, maintainability, or useful service life of the project." This shall be recorded prior to submittal of any building permit applications or prior to final Subdivision Plat.

This shall be review at time building permit submittal or final subdivision plat. This Condition is not applicable to this review process.

FCC 10-10:
6.1 Based on FCC 10-2-13 definitions of front lot line, the front lot line for lots 23, 24, and 25 is considered the lot line adjacent to Oak St. Therefore, the maximum fence height along Oak St shall be 4 feet in height in accordance with FCC 10-34-5.

No fences are proposed with this final PUD. The front lot line has been established as the lot line along Oak St. Therefore, any future fences proposed shall be limited to a maximum of 4 feet in accordance with FCC 10-34-5.
6.2 The applicant shall provide a minimum 5-foot rear yard setback for each individual lot in compliance with FCC 10-10-4-D.

Attachment 1, Sheet G1 (Exhibit C) indicated the minimum 5-foot rear yard setback for each individual lot in compliance with FCC 10-10-4-D. This Condition is satisfied.

6.3 The garage and driveway parking stalls shall be maintained as vehicular parking for the use of the single-family attached units and not be converted to another use.

Garages and driveways as proposed appear to be reserved for vehicle parking for the use of the single-unit attached dwellings (previously referred to as single-family attached units prior to the July 2023 housing code updates). This Condition appears to be met, but shall be the ongoing responsibility of individual property owners and the HOA to ensure compliance. This Condition not applicable to this review.
6.4 The applicant shall either provide long term bike parking that meets criteria in accordance with FCC $10-3-10-C$ or the applicant shall provide other long term bicycle parking onsite in accordance with FCC 10-3-10, this required long-trem bicycle parking may either be located on individual sites or in common space. Long term bike parking will be verified prior to Cerificate of Occupancy of each unit if proivided on the individual lots, or with final PUD if provided in common or open space.

The applicant has provided sufficient evidence that there is adequate space within the interior of the garage to accommodate the parking of a standard sized car and the minimum clearance distance of 4 feet from the wall to the vehicle in accordance with FCC 10-3-10-C and this Condition. Please see the dimensioned image below from page 2 of Exhibit G. It further illustrates the available area from the 4-unit garage dimensions provided on Sheet S2 of Exhibit D-Attachment 2. The 3-unit garage dimensions are provided on Sheet S2 of Exhibit HAttachment 5 and are a few inches narrower but meet the intent. This Condition is satisfied.

6.5 Long term bike parking may count towards recreation space requirements of Conditions 7.6 if provided in common or open space on the project site all criteria of FCC 10-3-10 shall be met including, but not limited to location and design, visibility and security, and lighting. If proposed, the long-term parking plan shall be submitted with to final PUD.

Long term bike parking is being proposed on individual sites as stated in the applicant narrative on page 7 of 22 (Exhibit B). Therefore, long-term bike parking is not being requested to count towards a portion of the recreation space requirement under Condition 7.6. This Condition is not applicable.

## FCC 10-23:

7.1. FCC $10-23-5-H-1$ states high quality building design using Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards or better. Different building facades and exterior design shall be used for each building grouping that meet the intent of FCC 10-6-6. This is to include but is not limited to a diversity of building materials and colors, window designs, garage door designs, roof eaves, light fixtures, driveway paving design/colors, and similar details etc. This shall be reviewed at final PUD.

In accordance with FCC 10-23-5-H-1 buildings in a PUD shall be of high-quality building design using Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards or better. The Planning Commission approved Condition 7.1 as an alternative to requiring Old Town and Mainstreet Architecture or better. It requires different building facades and exterior design to be for each building grouping in order to meet the intent of FCC 10-6-6 which regulates downtown and mainstreet architectural design.

The proposed building designs include three color arrangements from a pallet of three colorsgreen, brown and tan. The three sets of three-unit buildings are proposed to have a base of tan paint while the four four-unit buildings are proposed to have green and brown bases (2 each). Each of the three-color arrangements alternate as seen in Attachment 6 (below) (Exhibit I).

Façade changes are proposed as a variation in gable siding on the four-unit buildings (horizontal lap siding) and the three-unit buildings (shingle pattern siding). The shingle pattern siding shall be used on the four-unit building garage gables rather than the three-unit buildings to better break up the proposed long uninterrupted expanse (two sets of 150 ft .) of the same building style using horizontal lap siding (Condition 2). Please see the image below from Attachment 6:


The applicant addresses Condition 7.1 on their response to the notice of incompleteness (NOIC) on pages 2 through 8 (Exhibit G). The narrative includes extensive detail on the variation in types of windows, variations in roof lines, projection of garages, and diversity of roof eaves. However, these variations and diversity in materials proposed are specific to each individual unit and are not a diversity from each building cluster as required by this condition. So the result is that there is one overall building design being replicated seven times. The differences are three color palettes for seven buildings and shingle-style roof gables used on three of the seven buildings. Sixteen of the 25 units have no variation in building materials. And the color variation for the 25 units is divided roughly even with 8 units each have green or brown base paint and 9 having tan.

The definition of façade should also be considered. According to Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language, Unabridged, which shall be considered a standard reference in accordance with FCC 10-2-23 the definition for façade is:

The front of a building. A face of a building that is given emphasis by special architectural treatment.

The significance of this definition, as it relates to Condition 7.1 is the alternating paint configurations may meet the conditions requirement for different exterior design. The color does not meet the criteria for different building facades. There are two considerations for the coloration proposed as it relates to exterior design;

1. Using variations in color for primary and secondary colors are not permanent and therefore shall be the on-going requirement of the HOA to maintain a variation in colors from one building grouping to the next. Colors shall not be required to remain the same, but a similar variation in alternating colors shall be maintained for the life of the buildings. This shall either be a Condition of Approval stipulated by the Planning Commission., or
2. The variation in paint colors should be approved as meeting color palette requirements in accordance with FCC 10-6-6-4-G, but denied as a variation in exterior designs on the basis that this will require continued monitoring and enforcement by the City. Therefore, exterior designs that apply to the requirements of this Condition shall be clarified as permanent architecture details.

The proposed variation in garage gable siding pattern meets the criteria for different building facades. This is the only proposed variation in building facades that meets the criteria of this condition. It should also be noted that the resolution does not define the number of variations required for different building groupings. However, the use of facades, plural, indicates a minimum of two variations is required per each building grouping. This is interpreted to mean each individual building grouping shall have different facades rather than different facades for three-unit buildings and four-unit buildings. The Planning Commission is tasks with clarifying the interpretation on this matter.

## Considerations for this Condition are:

1. The narrative statement in both the application and the response to the NOIC has been detailed and sufficiently demonstrated quality building materials are proposed to be used, both as primary and secondary building materials.
2. The response to the NOIC includes a spreadsheet to illustrate in detail how the "overwhelming majority of Old Town and Mainstreet architectural standards"

- It is determined that meeting the "overwhelming majority" is neither meeting, nor exceeding Old Town and Mainstreet architectural standards.
- This condition was written as an alternative to requiring Old Town and Mainstreet Architecture or better. Therefore, this Condition must be met which includes different building facades and exterior designs for each building grouping, unless Condition 1 is invoked by the applicant and a request is sought.

3. This condition specifies that "Different building facades and exterior design shall be used for each building grouping that meet the intent of FCC 10-6-6" Examples of architectural details that may vary are provided, but no specification was included in the minimum number of architectural changes between each building grouping. As previously discussed, the condition using the word facades, plural, indicates a minimum of two variations for each grouping of buildings.

- The applicant narrative explains in significant detail the difference in architectural details on the individual dwelling units rather than the required variation of each building grouping. This Condition specifies that there shall be different building facades and exterior designs for each building group that meets the intent of FCC 10-6-6. The variation on individual units does not meet the intent of requirement of this Condition
- The variation of garage gable siding patterns between the four-unit buildings and three-unit buildings, as the only architectural detail variation, does not meet the intent of this Condition or this Condition as written.
- Is each individual building required to have a variation in facades or does variation in facades from the three-unit building and the four-unit buildings, as proposed, meet the intent of this condition?

4. The only architectural change between each type of building grouping is the gable siding being a shingle pattern on the three-unit buildings and horizontal lap siding on the fourunit buildings.

- As previously Conditioned, the shingle pattern siding shall be used on the fourunit buildings rather than three-unit buildings to better break up long expanses of horizontal siding.
- The variation in garage gable siding of shingle pattern and horizontal pattern does count towards one variation in façade for the building groupings.

5. FCC 10-6-6-3-C-3 states that "Changes in paint color and features that are not designed as permanent architectural elements, such as display cabinets, window boxes, retractable and similar mounted awnings or canopies, and other similar features, do not meet the 24-inch break-in-wall-plane standard." One of the two design elements proposed to change between building groupings is the paint color variation.

- This code section was included to demonstrate that a precedent has been set in code that changes in paint colors do not meet the requirement for permanent architectural features
- Does the alternating color pallet meet the intent of this Condition?
- Was the intent of this Condition to require permanent architecture differences between each grouping of building?
- If the paint color is accepted as a variation in exterior design, should it be conditioned to be maintained for the life of the structures

Summary: The condition did not specify which or number of façade and exterior design elements to use in order to provide flexibility to the applicant. The applicant came back with an alternating use of color palette and shingle roof gables. The colors proposed are complimentary to each other and offer an appearance of variation. The only architectural variation between building groupings is the garage gable siding. The specific number of variations to use for building groupings was not defined and should this architectural design not be approved then the number of variations should be specified for clarity. Staff pointed out the lack of variation in facades and exterior design in the NOIC mailed on September 27, 2023 as it related to this Condition. Additional specification should be stipulated by the Planning Commission to ensure compliance with minimum variations to meet the intent of this Condition (i.e., how many building groupings may use the same garage doors or front doors, require window variations on each building grouping vs the proposed variety of window style on an individual unit, require variation in covered entrances on each building grouping, as examples).

Below is an image of duplex units on $9^{\text {th }}$ St. In this 2012 Google Street View image several differences can be observed. Some of the differences between these buildings include the front doors, paved walkway design, varying roof lines, different window panels, variation in siding in more locations than the gable, and front porch designs.

This image is provided as an example of details that can be varied from one building unit to another, this is not meant to be prescriptive to the Myrtle Glen PUD.

7.2 The applicant shall submit a final grading plan illustrating all cuts and fills and final 1 ft . contours and grades to the edges of the development on all sides prior to final PUD.

Attachment 1, Sheet C6 (Exhibit C) illustrate all cuts and fill and final 1 ft . contours and grades to the edges of the development. This Condition has been satisfied.
7.3 With final PUD application a landscape and vegetation retention plan shall be submitted for the entire development. The buffer to the north shall include trees and shrubs planted or retained at a ratio of at least one tree per 30 ft . The Oak St. frontage and the $37^{\text {th }}$ St. frontage adjacent to unit 25 shall include landscaping consisting of at least one tree for every 30 feet of frontage.

Northern Buffer: Landscaping and vegetation retention plans have been submitted as part of this application as required by this Condition. The applicant has included a statement on page 6 of 8 in the response to the NOIC (Exhibit G) that states "Attachment 3 Landscaping Plan satisfies this condition. As depicted on the plans and explained in the application narrative: the northern vegetative buffer is proposed to remain in place. This buffer area is approximately 5 feet deep, 650 feet long, and densely vegetated. Given all trees and shrubs are proposed to remain within this buffer, trees and shrubs will be retained at a ratio of at least one tree per 30 feet."

Below is a snip from Attachment 3, Sheet L1 (Exhibit E).


Native vegetation buffer has not been inventoried to confirm that trees on this northern buffer meet the minimum 1 tree per 30 linear feet, or a minimum of 22 trees retained along the northern buffer ( $650 / 30=21.6$ - rounded up 22 trees are required to be retained). It is anticipated that the minimum number of required trees will be retained based on the applicant statements. However, the applicant shall submit an inventory of trees to satisfy this condition. In accordance with FCC 10-34-3-8, any required trees on the northern buffer that dies, falls, or is damaged during construction or at any time after development shall be replaced with an equivilant specimen within 6 months of dying or removal, whichever comes first (Condition 3).
$3^{\text {3th }}$ Street and Oak Street: 16 trees are propsed aloing the $37^{\text {th }}$ Street ROW and 16 trees are required. The street frontage along the $37^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage is dimensioned at 476.77 as seen on Attachment 1 Sheet G5.

- 476.77 / $30=15.89$ - rounded up, 16 trees are required

3 trees along the Oak Street ROW are proposed and 3 trees are required. The street frontage along the Oak Street ROW is dimensioned at 85.04 feet as seen on Attachment 1, Sheet G5.

- $85.04 / 30=2.83$ - rounded up, 3 trees are required

Summary: This Condition is satisfied as it relates to minimum tree counts along $37^{\text {th }}$ Street and Oak Street frontages.

This Condition is anticipated to be satisfied, but sufficient evidence has not been submitted along the northern property buffer.

Additional details shall be sumbitted in the form of an inventory of trees retained in the vegetated buffer on the north of this property that buffers the Nandia subdivision (Condition 4). This Condition has been conditioned to be met.
7.4 The corrections for utilities and accesses, as stated by SVFR Chief and Public Works, shall be addressed and provided in a revised utility and access plans submitted for review and approval with Final PUD submittal

Corrections for utilities and access have been addressed and provided in revised utility and access plans as seen on Attachment 1. This Condition is satisfied.
7.5 The final stormwater management plan shall be submitted for review and approval with Final PUD submittal. It shall include treatment and conveyance systems that meet city design typicals and it shall include consideration of capacity of existing system and an increase in detention pipe size as needed.

Attachment 4 (Exhibit F) of the application included a Stormwater Management Report Supplemental; Final Stormwater Systems Calculations that was stamped by a registered Professional Engineer. The civils includes profiles of the proposed street sections. The systems seem to be between 12" and 18 " deep but the exact widths could not be determined. The landscaping plans submitted as Attachment 3 include the proposed plantings for each of the storm systems both on and off site. Both the sizes and spacing are proposed but the stormwater typical detail numbers from the Stormwater Design Manual are not called out. Regardless of any inconsistencies between city standards and proposed plans it is presumed that the storm systems will be dimensioned, planted and constructed in accordance with SW-120, 130 \& 140 and SW 301 \& 311 with the requisite other green street typicals for incorporating infrastructure. (Informational)
7.6 Open space shall be calculated using FCC 10-23-5-E criteria at $20 \%$ of the net development area and at least $25 \%$ of the $20 \%$ shall include area(s) designated and intended for recreation. As modifications are being requested to the development standards of the underlying zone, the recreation plan submitted at final PUD shall include more than the minimum required recreation area in accordance with FCC $10-23-5-\mathrm{H} 3 \& 4$. Due to the topographical constraints present on the site, exceeding minimum recreation requirements by may be provided through quality of amenities rather than increased square footage beyond minimum requirements, pending Planning Commission review and approval at final PUD.

Open space was conditioned by Planning Commission to be calculated at $20 \%$ of the net development area and at least $25 \%$ of the $20 \%$ shall include areas designated and intended for recreation in accordance with FCC 10-23-5. The minimum square footage of open space and recreational open space has been satisfied based on the submitted application materials, but there are multiple criteria in this condition that requires review. The break down of Open Space is provided in a table on pg. 8 of 22 in the applicant narrative (Exhibit B), a summary of relevant items are discussed below.

Condition 7.6 Criteria to Consider:

- $20 \%$ of the net development area shall be open space.
- 116,667 net development area $\times .20=23,335.4 \mathrm{sq}$ ft required
- $38,754 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ is provided. This is $15,418.6 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ or $33 \%$ more than is required
- $25 \%$ of the $20 \%$ shall be designated and intended for recreational use.
- $23,335.4 \times .25=5,833.85$ sq feet of recreational open space is required
- $5,854 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ is provided. This is 20.15 sq ft or $.3 \%$ more than is required
- The recreational open space does not have a stated intended use and is not proposed to be developed for a specific intended use.
- The recreation plan shall include more than the minimum required recreation area.
- 20.15 sq ft or $.3 \%$ more recreational area is provided than is required.
- This amount of additional open space does not meet the intent of the Condition.
- Due to the topographical constraints on site recreation requirements may be provided through quality of amenities rather than increased square footage.
- 2 open spaces are proposed for Open Space
- Open Space A proposes 2 benches (no details provided) and grass as the amenities.
- Open Space B proposes 4 shore pines as the only improvement/amenities.
- Onsite recreational open space requirements are not provided through the quality of amenities.

Discussion on Additional Open Space Concerns and Considerations: Below is an explanation of the proposed open space as it relates to proposed improvements, amenities and long-range concerns for preserving the proposed open space with future development.

According to FCC 10-23-5-G, areas that are not acceptable for recreation area required as part of a PUD include easements. The intent of this statement was to ensure open space and recreation areas were developed to meet their intended use. There is a 20 -foot public utility easement on the south portion of proposed Open Space A as seen in Attachment 1, Sheet G5 (Exhibit C). A snip of this area is included next:


A snip of the open space locations shown on the plat is included above. The easement and the location of the easement do not adversely affect the use of the proposed open space for recreational purposes. Therefore, while an easement is present in the open space it is determined that this open space as proposed can meet the open space requirement for minimum square footage as required in FCC 10-23-5.

It is significant to note that the $37^{\text {th }}$ Street ROW is proposed to end with a 1 -foot reserve strip and Type 3 barricade per Condition 9.4 (image below) and as seen on Attachment 1, Sheet G7 (Exhibit C). The Type 3 barricade is a Condition of Approval and required by FCC 10-36-2-9, but should be considered under this Condition as it relates to the aesthetics and use of proposed Open Space A. This barricade necessitates additional consideration regarding the amenities provided to ensure Open Space Area A is developed to the intended use and that this intended use is clear to the intended users. This is directly related to the criteria of this condition to provide areas intended for recreation and quality amenities in exchange for additional quantity of open space.

During the June 22, 2023 hearing an image of an alternative turnaround was shown to the Planning Commission with an applicant explanation that $37^{\text {th }}$ Street may be developed and connect to $35^{\text {th }}$ Street to the south. This is significant as extending $37^{\text {th }}$ Street west would eliminate the majority of Open Space A, which is approximately $82 \%$ of the recreation Open Space on site.

## 4782 (Open Space A) / 5834 (Required Recreational Open Space) $=0.819$ or $82 \%$

Therefore, future development of $37^{\text {th }}$ Street to the west would eliminate the majority of the required recreational open space as proposed and will require additional recreational open space to be developed at a later date, or the applicant may pay a fee-in-lieu for the required recreational open space for a park to be developed on the lot to the south at a future date and dedicated to the public.

Minimum open space requirements and minimum recreation open space square footage of this condition are satisfied in the short-term, but long-term preservation of the recreation open space areas required by this Condition is a long-term concern. Requiring a fee-in-lieu would be appropriate for the recreational open space to develop a park area when the property to the south develops. This is an appropriate option to ensure appropriate park space is developed and due to the physical and topographical constraints present on this site.

In summary, the minimum open space and minimum recreational open space requirements have been satisfied. The proposed 20.15 square feet or $0.3 \%$ recreational open space above the minimum requirement does not meet the intent of this Condition. Development of open space for an intended recreational use and quality of amenities has not been satisfied and evidence for these requirements has not been submitted as part of this application.
7.7 A tentative concept plan with development amenities for the park area supporting both active and passive recreation shall be submitted with final PUD. Prior to issuance of the building permits for the fifth cluster of units the applicant shall submit and have approved a Final PUD approval for the proposed recreation area that is contained within the existing tax lots associated with this project.


Attachment 3, Sheet L1 (Exhibit E) includes details of Open Space A and Open Space B that are proposed to meet the recreation open space requirement for this project. Both of these recreational open space areas are entirely contained within the tax lots associated with this project. This component of the Condition has been satisfied.

Sufficient evidence has not been submitted in the tentative concept plan that the recreation open space is supporting both active and passive recreation. Below are snips of the two open space areas proposed.

Open Space A: Improved with grass and two benches. No details provided regarding bench design or quality. No information provided regarding recreation need this area is intended to be improved for.

Open Space B: Area is proposed to be planted with new shore pines and existing native vegetation. The area to the west is also landscaped. While the landscaping meets landscaping requirements this also serves as a barrier to access the intended recreation open space B. No intended use is identified for this area. The improvement proposed to this area is the planting of 4 shore pines. Additional amenities are required for this area to be improved to an intended recreational need. In accordance with FCC 10-23-5-G, recreational open space is required to be developed for its intended us and the recreational open space shall meet the needs identified in the Florence Parks and Recreation Master Plan.


A statement in the Addendum to Myrtle Glen PUD Addressing NOIC (Exhibit G) states:
"As described in our application narrative: (1) these recreational (and other) open space areas may be used for dog walking, walking, yoga, and (2) the future HOA may choose to install additional amenities in these spaces, meeting their specific needs.

This proposal is not made to avoid developing recreational amenities.
This proposal is made based on the developers' experience of residential demand. Lawns are more popular than individual-specific amenities, which go unused and unmaintained.

We find our proposal superior to a proposal to, for example, install a gazebo, playground equipment, barbeque pit. Those amenities (a) require maintenance, (b) complicate landscaping maintenance, (c) tend to go un-used in development which provide individual covered porches and back yards.

If the Planning Commission finds our proposal insufficient, we ask that the Planning Commission stipulate which amenity be developed in the recreational open space areas."

Based on this condition of approval approved by the City of Florence Planning Commission on June 22, 2023, and the requirement for Open Space requirements under FCC 10-23-5-G it is determined that sufficient information has not been submitted to demonstrated that the open space is planned to be developed for an intended purpose to satisfy a recreational need. FCC 10-23-5-G states:

[^0]FCC 10-2-13: Definitions defines Recreation Needs as "Existing and future demand by citizens and visitors for recreation areas, facilities, and opprotunities which can contribute to human health, development, and enrichment. (Ord. No. 2, Series 2011)" Ord. No. 2, Series 2011 adopted the most recent Florence Parks and Recreation Master Plan. Top needs identified by the community are listed in Figure 4.3 Top Recreation Needs Identified by Adult Respondants and Figure 4.4: Top 8 Recreational Needs Identified by Youth Respondents which are found on
page 79 of 104 in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan. Below is a table listing the top 8 responses from each age group, but are not listed in a specific order. The items in italics were on the community needs list, but not in the top 8.

| Activity | Adult <br> Respondents | Youth <br> Respondents | May fit Open <br> Space Area A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trails | X | X |  |
| Dog Parks | X | X | X |
| Open Space | X | X |  |
| Sport Facilities | X | X | X |
| Community Gardens | X | X |  |
| Recreation Center | X | X |  |
| Swimming Pool | X | X | X |
| Contemplation Areas | X | X |  |
| Adult Sports League |  |  |  |
| Splash |  |  | X |
| Bike Park |  |  | X |
| Picnicking Area |  |  | X |
| Bird/Animal ID. Area |  |  |  |
| Lawn Sports <br> (i.e. Bocce Ball) |  |  |  |

Staff included the lack of development plans for the recreational open space areas in the notice of incompletion (NOIC) mailed on September 27, 2023. The response included a revised landscaping plan (snip included above) to Open Space Area A that includes an area improved with grass and 2 benches. Details of the grass proposed were included and satisfy landscaping plan requirements for information provided. No information was submitted regarding the details or quality of the proposed benches. After review it is determined that sufficient details of the open space and how these spaces meet a specific recreation need has not been satisfied.

As previously stated, the response to the NOIC states the if "the Planning Commission finds our proposal insufficient, we ask the Planning Commission stipulate which amenities be developed in the recreation open space." As the proposal is found to be insufficient the Planning Commission is being tasked, at their discretion, to stipulate which amenities shall be provided in the recreation open space as requested by the applicant. The stipulated amenities shall meet a recreation need as defined by City Code and that meets a need identified in the most recent Florence Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

Two items shall be stipulated by the Planning Commission to meet this condition.

1. The recreation need that shall be met with the 2 proposed open space areas
2. The amenities that shall be installed to meet this recreational need.

As proposed Open Space B is $19.5 \times 55$ feet or 1,072 ゅ and Open Space Area A is proposed to be 4,782 ゅ. The size of these two spaces and their location limits they uses they can be developed for. Open Space Area B is proposed to be planted with 4 shore pine trees with native salal, pacific wax myrtle, evergreen huckleberry, and pacific rhododendron to remain. No recreational improvements are proposed for Open Space B,

As previously discussed, a fee-in-lieu may be the most appropriate alternative to the required open space. This is because the space is limited and constrained by the topographical constraints onsite, additional details of the amenities and the recreation these spaces were intended to be developed to meet was requested in the NOIC which was not produced, and Open Space Area A may be developed as a street if $37^{\text {th }}$ St extends with future development to the south.

As previously stated, sufficient evidence of the quality and details of improvements have not been submitted, with the exception of the landscaping plans indicating proposed grass planting in Open Space A and shore pines planted in Open Space B. No evidence has been submitted to indicate the proposed intended use of these proposed recreational open space areas. The burden to provide evidence to support an application is on the applicant in accordance with FCC 10-1-1-6-3-E-4 which states:
4. There is no duty upon the Planning Commission to elicit or require evidence. The burden to provide evidence to support the application is upon the applicant. If the Planning Commission determines there is not sufficient evidence supporting the major requirements, then the burden has not been met and approval shall be denied.

This condition has not been satisfied and will require Conditions of Approval for meeting this Condition either through improved quality and quantity of amenities or through the condition of a fee-in-lieu.
7.8 The applicant shall submit either an extension request or a Final PUD plan for the townhome development for review and approval within one year of Planning Commission approval of the Preliminary Plan (June 22, 2024). There are many land use regulation changes under consideration that if adopted may affect a request for extension.

This application has been submitted prior to June 22, 2024. This Condition is satisfied.
FCC 10-35:
8.1 A traffic Impact Study (TIS) in accordance with FCC 10-35-2-5 and FCC 10-1-1-4-E is required based on the criteria that the proposed development will have 25 single family dwelling units and that adjacent neighborhoods or other areas may be adversely affected by the proposed development. A TIS shall be completed and submitted to the City for review and approval prior to, or in conjunction with final plat.

This shall be reviewed and assessed prior to or in conjunction with final plat.
8.2 The maximum driveway width allowable under FCC 10-35-2-12-B for driveways serving single-family residences shall not be more than 24 feet shall be a minimum of 18 feet where the driveway provides two-way traffic. Therefore, the two driveway access points proposed on $37^{\text {th }}$ St shall be a maximum of 24 feet in width and a minimum of 18 feet.

The driveway widths are included on Attachment 1, Sheet C9. These driveway widths are dimensioned at 24 feet in width each. This Condition has been satisfied.

### 8.3 The East Myrtle Loop stub shall be built to local street standards.

Attachment 1 includes the civil plans for the Myrtle Glen PUD. Sheets C1, C2, C4, C6, C9, and C11 (Exhibit C) support that the East Myrtle Loop stub is proposed to be built to local street standards. This Condition is satisfied.
8.4 The applicant shall provide examples of signs to be installed at any and all fire access lanes or turnarounds in accordance with FCC 10-35-12-D section prior to issuance of building permits and all signs shall be installed and approved by public works prior to issuance of first Certificate of Occupany. This is intended for fire apperatus and street signage in public ROWs. Condition 4.4 addressed parking signage on private property.

Attachment 1, Sheet C12 (Exhibit C) includes details of the proposed signage and the location for the signs to be installed. This Condition has been satisfied.

8.5 Certificate of Occupancy for individual units shall not be issued until sidewalks are constructed on the north side of $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and financially secured on the south side of $37^{\text {th }} \mathbf{S t}$.

This shall be reviewed and assessed prior to Certificate of Occupancy for each individual unit. This condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
8.6 Vision clearance at 37 th and Oak Street shall be confirmed during building inspection or by staff site visit prior to final approval to ensure compliance with minimum vision clearance.

Below are snips from Attachment 3, Sheet L1 from the landscaping plan (Exhibit E). The top image is of the vegetated swale on the north side of $37^{\text {th }}$ Street at the $37^{\text {th }}$ and Oak Street intersection and the bottom image is of the planting area on the south side of the $37^{\text {th }}$ and Oak

Street intersection. These proposed planting areas use plantings that are not anticipated to interfere with vision clearance. This shall be confirmed during final building inspection as stated in this Condition.

8.7 To meet the vision clearance areas criteria as identified in FCC 10-35-2-14, the HOA shall perpetually maintain landscaping so that vegetation does not grow to obstruct vision clearance areas at internal intersections or intersections with public streets in accordance with FCC 10-35-2-14. This shall be included in the CCRs.

This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.

## FCC 10-36:

9.1 All landscaping and stormwater facilities adjacent to sidewalks and pedestrian walkways shall be maintained by the HOA to prevent encroachment onto the sidewalks and bicycle areas and ground cover such as rocks or mulch shall be secured to prevent pedestrian hazards in consistency with the 2012 TSP.

This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
9.2 Stormwater facilities in the ROW and PUEs shall be completed and approved by the Public Works Department or financially secured prior to issuance of any Certificates of Occupancies. Any damage caused by unmanaged stormwater prior to completion of the stormwater facilities will be the responsibility of the applicant or their representatives.

This Condition will be reviewed prior to Certificate of Occupancy. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
9.3 The proposed emergency vehicle turnaround easement meets current fire codes. However, this shall be a temporary solution and permanent emergency vehicle access shall be developed in conjunction with any development plans on the lot to the south at the expense of the developer of Myrtle Glenn or as agreed upon in writing and submitted to the City by both the Myrtle Glen developer and the developer of the southern property.

This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
9.4 Barricades used for the East Myrtle Loop Street and $37^{\text {th }}$ Street stubs shall be Type III barricades in accordance with FCC 10-36-2-9.

Attachment 1, Sheet G7 includes details of the proposed barricades for use at the East Myrtle Loop and $37^{\text {th }}$ Street stubs that are consistent with the City of Florence standards using F-216A street barricades. This Condition is satisfied.

9.6 Maintenance of the proposed sidewalks shall be the continuing obligation of the adjacent property owner, in the case of this subdivision the responsible party for maintaining these facilities shall be the HOA. In addition to maintaining the sidewalks adjacent to the development, the stormwater facilities, on-site, in the 37th St, or the PUEs shall be maintained by the Myrtle Glenn PUD and shall be stipulated in the CCRs.

This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
9.7 In accordance with this FCC 10-36-2-21 the cost of signs required for new development shall be the responsibility of the developer and shall be installed as part of the street system development and shall be installed by developers per City of Florence Standards and Specifications. This shall apply to signs on public property and ROWS. Signage on private property shall be addressed during parking lot review under Condition 4.4.

Details of street signage were included on Attachment 1, Sheet G8 and include City of Florence Standard Drawing No. F-217b and F-217g to demonstrate the proposed street signs meet City standards. Sheet C9 includes the proposed location of the required street signs. The applicant narrative states that Sheets G8 and C9 satisfy this Condition. The street sign details being included on the civil plans indicates an understanding that this is the responsibility of the developer, although this was not expressly stated. This Condition is Satisfied.
9.8 Additional information for mailbox type and location shall be submitted and approved prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy with associated units.

This shall be reviewed and assessed prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
9.9 The building height shall be confirmed at time of building permit plan review and all shall be in compliance with all state and City fire and life safety codes.

This shall be reviewed and assed at the time of building plan review. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
9.10 The project involves disturbance of more than 1 acre of land. The applicant shall obtain an NPDES permit from DEQ prior to site disturbance.

An NPDES permit from DEQ has not been submitted as part of the final PUD application. On page 11 of 22 of the application the narrative statement (Exhibit B) states "The applicant understands the DE requires a 1200C permit prior to disturbance of more than 1 acre." No land disturbing activities have occurred on site and none are permitted until this application is obtained. A DEQ Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS) was submitted as part of this application.
9.11 All new utility lines shall be undergrounded, and above ground equipment shall not obstruct vision clearance areas for vehicular traffic.

All utility lines shall be underground and above ground equipment shall not obstruct vision clearance areas for vehicular traffic. This Condition shall be reviewed following installation of utilities and equipment for compliance.
9.12 All public improvements shall be warranted against defects in materials and workmanship for a period of one year following acceptance of the improvements by the City. Once accepted, a minimum one (1) year warranty agreement on materials and workmanship shall be initiated between the City of Florence and the developer. A warranty bond or other financial security acceptable to the City in the amount of 12 percent of the original public improvement construction cost shall be maintained throughout the warranty period. The terms of the warranty and the
warranty itself shall be provided to the Florence Planning Director prior to final plat approval.

This shall be assessed and reviewed at time of final plat. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.

## FCC 10-37:

10.1 All lighting proposed on site to include the exterior of the individual units shall be designed as full cut-off fixtures or have shielding method to direct light downwards and do not glare onto adjacent properties or skyward in accordance with FCC 10-37-4. The required lighting plans for individual dwelling units shall be submitted to the planning department for review prior to issuance of building permit.

This shall be assessed and review in conjunction with building plan review and prior to issuance of building permits the dwelling units. No details of the proposed light fixtures have been included. The applicant statement on pg. 12 of 181, under Condition 10.1 review, that states "The applicant understands that lighting details (including design, height, and photometric specification of the proposed street lights) are required to be submitted to the Planning Department for approval prior to making the first application for a building permit." This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
10.2 The applicant shall provide design, height, and photometric specification of the proposed street lights for review and approval by the Florence Planning Department and Public Work Department prior to any work being commenced and either prior to or in conjunction with final plat.

This shall be assessed and review at time of final plat. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.

## FCC 11-3:

11.1 The final plat shall contain an Owner's Declaration recital, complete with the name and address of the property owner in accordance with FCC 11-3-2-C3 and the platting standards of ORS $\mathbf{9 2}$ for subdivisions.

This shall be assessed and review at time of final plat
11.2 The final plat prepared and submitted for final plat approval shall contain the elevations of all points used to determine contours with the required intervals of 1' $0 \%$ to ${ }^{\prime}$ '; 2' $5 \%$ to $10 \%$; and $5^{\prime}$ over $10 \%$

This shall be assessed and reviewed at time of final plat. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.
11.3 All final engineering details and plans are subject to review, revision and approval by the Florence Community Department, Public Works Director and or City Engineer. The applicant shall submit all required sewage disposal, flood control, and drainage facility plans prior to final plat.

This shall be assessed and reviewed at time of final plat. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.

### 11.4 A final grading plan in required prior to final plat. The final grading plan is subject to discretionary approval by Public Works and/or Engineering.

This shall be assessed and review at time of final plat. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.

### 11.5 The final plat that is prepared shall contain a legal description in accordance with ORS 92

This shall be assessed and reviewed at time of final plat. This Condition is not applicable to this final PUD review.

### 11.6 The applicant will be expected to proceed with final survey and to make preparations for final subdivision approval within the timeframes outlined in Title 11 Chapter 3-6 \& Chapter 4-4 \& 4-6 unless otherwise provided for through approved and allowed extensions from the Planning Director. This tentative plan shall expire on June 22, 2025 unless an extension request is received and approved

The application for final PUD has been submitted within the required time line and the tentative PUD and subdivision are still valid.

## CONCLUSION:

## Conclusion:

The proposed final PUD for Myrtle Glen has met the majority of the Conditions of Approval as required by Resolution PC 2221 PUD 01. The Conditions of Approval that relate to the subdivision and site investigation report (SIR) will be reviewed at a later time during the appropriate review process. There are still outstanding Conditions of Approval that have not bee satisfied with this final PUD application. These items include:
4.4: Double line striping of 2 feet on center has not been satisfied.
7.1: The Planning Commission is tasked with determining if the variation in horizontal lap siding for the garage gables on the four-unit buildings and the shingle pattern garage gable siding on the three-unit buildings in combination with the alternating color palates is sufficient to meet this Condition.
7.3: An inventory of trees along the northern buffer has not been submitted. Therefore, staff do not have sufficient evidence to verify if this Condition has been met in its entirety.

The minimum tree requirement along the $37^{\text {th }}$ and Oak Street frontages has been met.
7.7: Sufficient details have not been submitted regarding the recreational open space and sufficient evidence has not been submitted to support the recreational need these spaces are intended to be developed for or that the amenities provided are durable and high quality.

The applicant has requested that the Planning Commission stipulate what recreational need each proposed open spaces shall be developed to support and specify amenities to be installed.

Alternatively, the Planning Commission may Condition the requirement of a fee-in-lieu to be paid by the applicant for the development of future park space

In addition to the Conditions of Approval listed in these Findings of Facts, Resolution PC 2221 PUD, PC 2223 SUB 02, and SR 2248 SIR 13 remain in effect.

## VI. EXHIBITS

A. Finding of Fact
B. Proposed Myrtle Glen Final PUD
C. Attachment 1 - Civil Plans
D. Attachment 2 - Architectural Plans
E. Attachment 3 - Landscaping Plans
F. Attachment 4 - Stormwater Management Report
G. Addendum to Myrtle Glen Final PUD Addressing NOIC
H. Attachment 5 - Architectural Plans for Triplexes
I. Attachment 6 - Paint Colors Plan
J. Attachment 7 - Proposed Design Vs Old Town \& Mainstreet Architectural Standards
K. Resolutions PC 2221 PUD 01, PC 2223 SUB 02, \& SR 2248 SIR 13




| Applicant | Mike Johnson |
| :--- | :--- |
| Owner | David Bielenberg |
| Agents | Civil Engineer: Clint Beecroft, P.E., EGR \& Associates Inc. <br> Contractor: Norm Wells, Ray Wells Inc. <br> Landscaper: Lisa Walter-Sedlacek, Laurel Bay Gardens <br> Planner: Hailey Sheldon, Sheldon Planning LLC |
| Site Address | $37^{\text {th }}$ \& Oak |
| Map No. | 18 S 12W 22 |
| Parcel No. | 1200 \& 200 |
| Lane County <br> Account No. | 783785 \& 1327210 |
| Size | 3.13 \& 0.21 Acres |
| Zoning | High Density Residential |
| Special | Flood Hazard: N/A <br> NRCS Soils Map: Yaquina loamy fine sand <br> City of Florence Significant Wetland Areas Map: None <br> City of Florence Hazards Map: Active Dune Advancing Edge; Creeks* <br> National Wetland Inventory: Riverine* / Statewide Wetland Inventory: <br> Riverine* <br> *See Wetland Delineation - No Wetland or Creeks Present |
| Development <br> Considerations <br> and Overlays |  |
| Access | $37^{\text {th }}$ Street |
| Site <br> Description | Vacant <br> Surrounding <br> Zoning \& Use <br> Residential, City Water Tanks, Florence Golf Links; See Attachment 2 <br> Vicinity Maps |

## OVERVIEW

This application is for final Planned Unit Development approval, for the project preliminarily approved by Resolution PC 2221 PUD 01, PC 2223 SUB 02, \& SR 2248 SIR 13.

This narrative addresses: (1) the conditions of preliminary approval and (2) FCC Title 10 Chapter 23 Planned Unit Development 10-23-11 Approval of the Final Development Plan.

## ATTACHMENTS

The following supporting documents are attached and available electronically at:
https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fo/wviavfgbu6t6srj8szei2/h?rlkey=j2q9q6qu29dmydkxjh69jt7zf\& $\underline{\mathrm{dl}=0}$

1. Civil Plans
2. Architectural Plans
3. Landscpaing Plans
4. Stormwater Management Report Supplemental: Final Stormwater System Calculations

## Preliminary PUD Conditions of Approval

The narrative below addresses the conditions of approval of preliminary subdivision and PUD approval.

```
Resolution PC 22 21 PUD 01, PC 22 23 SUB 02, & SR 22 48 SIR 13: Conditions of
Approval
[...]
FCC 10-3:
```

4.1 The shared/common parking area shall meet grading requirements so as not to drain storm water over public ROWs. Parking lot surfacing shall not encroach upon public ROWs. This shall be in accordance with FCC 10-3-8-C. This shall be included on a detailed parking lot plan prior to or in conjunction with first building permits and subject to Public Works and Community Development Department approval.
Attachment 1 Sheets C7 Parking Lot Grading Plan - West Half, C8 Parking Lot Grading Plan East Half, and C9 Access and Parking Plan satisfy this condition.
4.2 [Part 1] Parking spaces shall be screened with evergreen shrubs that reach a minimum 36 inches in height above parking lot grade level at maturity so that headlights do not shine onto adjacent residential uses and zones in accordance with FCC 10-3-8-D and FCC 10-34-3-7. Stormwater facility plantings in the 37th St ROW may meet this screening requirement or this requirement may be met through fencing installed between parking spaces and sidewalk/PUE. The screening plan shall be included in the final landscaping/stormwater facility planting [Part 2] with a proposed schedule of planting at final PUD.
Attachment 2 Sheet L2 satisfies Part 1 of this condition - proposing Escallonia x exoniensis (aka Pink Princess Escallonia) in 5 gallon containers for screening. The Tree and Plant List for the City of Florence lists the diameter and height (at maturity) of Pink Princess Escallonia as 5' and 5', respectively; the List also labels this plant "good for tall hedges" and "good for high summer / winter wind."

Regarding Part 2, schedule of planting: all landscaping is proposed to be planted prior to application for Certificates of Occupancy of the final/last townhome structure.
4.3 The shared/common parking area shall be in compliance with FCC 10-3-8-E. A curb or wheel stop of not less than 6 inches shall be installed abutting streets and interior lot lines to prevent encroachment onto adjacent private property, public walkways, sidewalks, or minimum landscaped area required in accordance with FCC 10-3-8-E2.
Attachment 1 Sheet C9 Access and Parking Plan satisfies this condition.
4.4 A complete parking lot plan in accordance with FCC 10-3-8 K and FCC 10-3-8-L shall be submitted prior to or in conjunction with final PUD shall include the following items not previously submitted:

- Curb cut dimensions
- Dimensions, continuity, and substance of screening
- Grading, drainage, surfacing, and sub grading details
- Specifications for signs, bumper guards, and curbs
- Each Space shall have double line striping with two feet wide on center
- The width of any striping line in an approved parking area shall be a minimum 4" wide


## Attachment 1 Sheet C9 Access and Parking Plan satisfies this condition.

4.5 In accordance with FCC 10-37-4 the City shall have a 30-day review period starting the day following the final Certificate of Occupancy to evaluate and request adjustments to illumination levels based on staff inspections and public comments. The application shall be permitted to have decreased illumination levels onsite of 1 -foot candles versus 2 required in FCC 10-37-4-B.

The applicant understands this lighting review period, to commence following the issuance of the final Certificate of Occupancy.

FCC 10-7:
5.1 The area has Yaquina soils which are known for high ground water. Therefore, the applicant shall record a Covenant of Release which outlines the hazard, restrictions and/or conditions that apply to the property as outlined in subsection (D) of FCC 10-7-7, Review and Use of Site Investigation Report, and limited to a determination that the project as described and conditioned herein meets the land use provisions and development standards of the City Code and Comprehensive Plan current as of this date. This approval makes no judgement or guarantee as to the functional or structural adequacy, suitability for purpose, safety, maintainability, or useful service life of the project." This shall be recorded prior to submittal of any building permit applications or prior to final Subdivision Plat.
The applicant understands this covenant must be filed prior to final subdivision plat.
FCC 10-10:
6.1 Based on FCC 10-2-13 definitions of front lot line, the front lot line for lots 23, 24, and 25 is considered the lot line adjacent to Oak St. Therefore, the maximum fence height along Oak St shall be 4 feet in height in accordance with FCC 10-34-5.
The applicant understands this information. No fence on Oak Street is proposed.
6.2 The applicant shall provide a minimum 5-foot rear yard setback for each individual lot in compliance with FCC 10-10-4-D.
Attachment 1 Sheet G1 Cover Sheet satisfies this condition. In addition, Attachment 3 Sheet S1 and S10 Side Elevation View depict the rear porch dimensions / protrusion.
6.3 The garage and driveway parking stalls shall be maintained as vehicular parking for the use of the single-family attached units and not be converted to another use.
The applicant understands this information.
6.4 The applicant shall either provide long term bike parking that meets criteria in accordance with FCC 10-3-10-C or the applicant shall provide other long term bicycle parking onsite in accordance with FCC 10-3-10, this required long-trem bicycle parking may either be located on individual sites or in common space. Long term bike parking will be verified prior to Cerificate of Occupancy of each unit if proivided on the individual lots, or with final PUD if provided in common or open space.
6.5 Long term bike parking may count towards recreation space requirements of Conditions 7.6 if provided in common or open space on the project site all criteria of FCC 10-3-10 shall be met including, but not limited to location and design, visibility and security, and lighting. If proposed, the long-term parking plan shall be submitted with to final PUD.

Long term bicycle parking to be located on individual lots; to be verified prior to Certificate of Occupancy of each unit.

FCC 10-23:
7.1. FCC 10-23-5-H-1 states high quality building design using Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards or better. Different building facades and exterior design shall be used for each building grouping that meet the intent of FCC 10-6-6. This is to include but is not limited to a diversity of building materials and colors, window designs, garage door designs, roof eaves, light fixtures, driveway paving design/colors, and similar details etc. This shall be reviewed at final PUD.

See narrative below under FCC 10-6-6 on page 11.
7.2 The applicant shall submit a final grading plan illustrating all cuts and fills and final 1 ft . contours and grades to the edges of the development on all sides prior to final PUD.
Attachment 1 Sheet C6 Overall Site Grading Plan and Profile Views satisfies this condition.
7.3 With final PUD application a landscape and vegetation retention plan shall be submitted for the entire development. The buffer to the north shall include trees and shrubs planted or retained at a ratio of at least one tree per 30 ft . The Oak St. frontage and the 37th St. frontage adjacent to unit 25 shall include landscaping consisting of at least one tree for every 30 feet of frontage.
Attachment 3 Landscaping Plan satisfies this condition.
7.4 The corrections for utilities and accesses, as stated by SVFR Chief and Public Works, shall be addressed and provided in a revised utility and access plans submitted for review and approval with Final PUD submittal.
Attachment 1 Civil Plan satisfies this condition.
7.5 The final stormwater management plan shall be submitted for review and approval with Final PUD submittal. It shall include treatment and conveyance systems that meet city design typicals and it shall include consideration of capacity of existing system and an increase in detention pipe size as needed.

## Attachment 4 Stormwater Management Report Supplemental Final Stormwater System Calculations satisfies this condition.

7.6 Open space shall be calculated using FCC 10-23-5-E criteria at $20 \%$ of the net development area and at least $25 \%$ of the $20 \%$ shall include area(s) designated and intended for recreation. As modifications are being requested to the development standards of the underlying zone, the recreation plan submitted at final PUD shall include more than the minimum required recreation area in accordance with FCC 10-23-5-H3 \& 4. Due to the topographical constraints present on the site, exceeding minimum recreation requirements by may be provided through quality of amenities rather than increased square footage beyond minimum requirements, pending Planning Commission review and approval at final PUD.
7.7 A tentative concept plan with development amenities for the park area supporting both active and passive recreation shall be submitted with final PUD. Prior to issuance of the building permits for the fifth cluster of units the applicant shall submit and have approved a Final PUD approval for the proposed recreation area that is contained within the existing tax lots associated with this project.
Attachment 3 Landscaping Plan, including but not limited to Sheet L1 Vegetation Detail Open Space A and Vegetation Detail Open Space B and Attachment 1 Sheet G5 (proposed plat including these common areas) satisfy these conditions 7.6 and 7.7.

The applicant proposes (1) a cumulative 5,854 square feet of recreational open space, exceeding the required recreational open space requirement of $10-23-5-\mathrm{E}$ by 20 square feet (or $0.3 \%$ ) and (2) 38,754 square feet of open space, exceeding the recreational open space requirement of $10-$ $23-5$-E by 15,419 square feet (or $66 \%$ ). This is in addition to the private back porches.

|  | Square <br> Feet | $\%$ Net Dev't |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TL 1200 | 136,284 |  |  |
| TL 200 | 9,016 |  |  |
| 37th ROW | 28,623 |  |  |
| Net Development Area (TL1200+TL200-ROW) | 116,677 |  |  |
| Gross Development Area (TL1200+TL200) | 145,300 |  |  |
| Required Open Space: 20\% of Net Developable Area | 23,335 |  |  |
| Required Recreational Space: 25\% of 20\% of Net Developable Area | 5,834 |  |  |
| Proposed Open Space Area | 38,754 | $33.2 \%$ |  |
| Proposed Recreational Open Space Area | 5,854 | $5 \%$ <br> $(25 \%$ of Open Space Area) |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Private Back Porches | 2,286 | $2 \%$ |  |

The proposed recreational (and non-recreational) open spaces support both passive and active recreational uses. For example, they may be used for dog walking, walking, yoga. Note also that the HOA could choose to install additional amenities in these spaces, meeting their specific needs.
7.8 The applicant shall submit either an extension request or a Final PUD plan for the townhome development for review and approval within one year of Planning Commission approval of the Preliminary Plan (June 22, 2024). There are many land use regulation changes under consideration that if adopted may affect a request for extension.

FCC 10-35:
8.1 A traffic Impact Study (TIS) in accordance with FCC 10-35-2-5 and FCC 10-1-1-4-E is required based on the criteria that the proposed development will have 25 single family dwelling units and that adjacent neighborhoods or other areas may be adversely affected by the proposed development. A TIS shall be completed and submitted to the City for review and approval prior to, or in conjunction with final plat.

The applicant understands a traffic impact study is required to be completed and submitted to the City for review and approval prior to, or in conjunction with the final plat.
8.2 The maximum driveway width allowable under FCC 10-35-2-12-B for driveways serving single-family residences shall not be more than 24 feet shall be a minimum of 18 feet where the driveway provides two-way traffic. Therefore, the two driveway access points proposed on 37th St shall be a maximum of 24 feet in width and a minimum of 18 feet.

Attachment 1 Sheet C9 Access and Parking Plan satisfies this condition. The two driveway accesses are proposed to be $24^{\prime}$ wide.
8.3 The east Myrtle Loop stub shall be built to local street standards.

Attachment 1 Sheet C11 Sidewalk Access Ramp Details satisfies this condition.
8.4 The applicant shall provide examples of signs to be installed at any and all fire access lanes or turnarounds in accordance with FCC 10-35-12-D section prior to issuance of building permits and all signs shall be installed and approved by public works prior to issuance of first Certificate of Occupany. This is intended for fire apperatus and street signage in public ROWs. Condition 4.4 addressed parking signage on private property.

## Attachment 1 Sheet C12 Vehicle Turnaround Plan satisfies this condition.

8.5 Certificate of Occupancy for individual units shall not be issued until sidewalks are constructed on the north side of 37th St. and financially secured on the south side of 37th St.

The applicant understands the sidewalks on the north side of $37^{\text {th }}$ Street must be constructed, and the sidewalks on the south side of $37^{\text {th }}$ Street must be financially secured or constructed, prior to issuance of the first Certificaet of Occupancy.
8.6 Vision clearance at 37th and Oak Street shall be confirmed during building inspection or by staff site visit prior to final approval to ensure compliance with minimum vision clearance.
8.7 To meet the vision clearance areas criteria as identified in FCC 10-35-2-14, the HOA shall perpetually maintain landscaping so that vegetation does not grow to obstruct vision clearance areas at internal intersections or intersections with public streets in accordance with FCC 10-35-2-14. This shall be included in the CCRs.

The applicant understands the CCRs for the Myrtle Glenn PUD are required to include language which stipulates the HOA is responsible for complying with the following Florence City Code standard:
> 10-35-2-14: Vision Clearance: No visual obstruction (e.g., sign, structure, solid fence, or shrub vegetation) shall block the area between two and one-half feet (2 $1 / 2^{\prime}$ ) and eight (8) feet in height in "vision clearance areas" on streets, driveways, alleys, mid-block lanes, or multi-use paths where no traffic control stop sign or signal is provided, as shown in Figure 10-35(4). The following requirements shall apply in all zoning districts:
A. At the intersection of two (2) streets, minimum vision clearance shall be twenty feet (20').
B. At the intersection of an alley or driveway and a street, the minimum vision clearance shall be ten feet ( $10^{\prime}$ ).
C. At the intersection of internal driveways, the minimum vision clearance shall be ten feet ( $10^{\prime}$ ).

The sides of the minimum vision clearance triangle are the curb line or, where no curb exists, the edge of pavement. Vision clearance requirements may be modified by the Public Works Director upon finding that more or less sight distance is required (i.e., due to traffic speeds, roadway alignment, etc.). This standard does not apply to light standards, utility poles, trees trunks and similar objects. Refer to Section 10-2-13 of this Title for definition.
FCC 10-36:
9.1 All landscaping and stormwater facilities adjacent to sidewalks and pedestrian walkways shall be maintained by the HOA to prevent encroachment onto the sidewalks and bicycle areas and ground cover such as rocks or mulch shall be secured to prevent pedestrian hazards in consistency with the 2012 TSP.
The applicant understands the CCRs for the Myrtle Glenn PUD are required to include language which stipulates the HOA is responsible for maintaining the adjacent sidewalk and stormwater facilities (on-site, in 37th Street, and in the public utility easements).
9.2 Stormwater facilities in the ROW and PUEs shall be completed and approved by the Public Works Department or financially secured prior to issuance of any Certificates of Occupancies. Any damage caused by unmanaged stormwater prior to completion of the stormwater facilities will be the responsibility of the applicant or their representatives.
9.3 The proposed emergency vehicle turnaround easement meets current fire codes. However, this shall be a temporary solution and permanent emergency vehicle access shall be developed in conjunction with any development plans on the lot to the south at the expense of the developer of Myrtle Glenn or as agreed upon in writing and submitted to the City by both the Myrtle Glen developer and the developer of the southern property.

The applicant understands that, at the time the southern lot is developed, a "permanent emergency vehicle access" shall be developed. Permanent emergeny vehicle access means either: extension of W Myrtle Loop (complete or partial, as approved by the City), a cul-de-sac, or another design approved by the City. And that a deed restriction (or another legal instrument approved by the City) should be recorded stipulating such.
9.4 Barricades used for the East Myrtle Loop Street and 37th Street stubs shall be Type III barricades in accordance with FCC 10-36-2-9.

## Attachment 1 Sheet G7 Standard Drawings and Details satisfies this condition.

9.6 Maintenance of the proposed sidewalks shall be the continuing obligation of the adjacent property owner, in the case of this subdivision the responsible party for maintaining these facilities shall be the HOA. In addition to maintaining the sidewalks adjacent to the development, the stormwater facilities, on-site, in the 37th St, or the PUEs shall be maintained by the Myrtle Glenn PUD and shall be stipulated in the CCRs.

The applicant understands the CCRs for the Myrtle Glenn PUD are required to include language which stipulates the HOA is responsible for maintaining the adjacent sidewalk and stormwater facilities (on-site, in 37th Street, and in the public utility easements).
9.7 In accordance with this FCC 10-36-2-21 the cost of signs required for new development shall be the responsibility of the developer and shall be installed as part of the street system development and shall be installed by developers per City of Florence Standards and Specifications. This shall apply to signs on public property and ROWS. Signage on private property shall be addressed during parking lot review under Condition 4.4.

Attachment 1 Sheets G8 Standard Drawings and Details and C9 Access and Parking Plan satisfy this condition.
9.8 Additional information for mailbox type and location shall be submitted and approved prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy with associated units.
The applicant understands that they are required to propose and gain approval for the type and location of mailbox(es) from both the City and USPS, prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for the associated units.
9.9 The building height shall be confirmed at time of building permit plan review and all shall be in compliance with all state and City fire and life safety codes.
9.10 The project involves disturbance of more than 1 acre of land. The applicant shall obtain an NPDES permit from DEQ prior to site disturbance.
The applicant understands that the DEQ requires a 1200C permit prior to disturbance of more than 1 acre.
9.11 All new utility lines shall be undergrounded, and above ground equipment shall not obstruct vision clearance areas for vehicular traffic.

The applicant's utility plans as presented in Attachment 1 Civil Plans satisfy this condition.
9.12 All public improvements shall be warranted against defects in materials and workmanship for a period of one year following acceptance of the improvements by the City. Once accepted, a minimum one (1) year warranty agreement on materials and workmanship shall be initiated between the City of Florence and the developer. A warranty bond or other financial security acceptable to the City in the amount of 12 percent of the original public improvement construction cost shall be maintained throughout the warranty period. The terms of the warranty and the warranty itself shall be provided to the Florence Planning Director prior to final plat approval.
The applicant understands, prior to final plat, they are required to provide a warranty bond or other financial security acceptable to the City in the amount of $12 \%$ of the construction cost of
all public improvements, to be held for one year after those improvements are accepted by the City (marked by the City's approval of the final plat).

FCC 10-37:
10.1 All lighting proposed on site to include the exterior of the individual units shall be designed as full cut-off fixtures or have shielding method to direct light downwards and do not glare onto adjacent properties or skyward in accordance with FCC 10-37-4. The required lighting plans for individual dwelling units shall be submitted to the planning department for review prior to issuance of building permit.
10.2 The applicant shall provide design, height, and photometric specification of the proposed street lights for review and approval by the Florence Planning Department and Public Work Department prior to any work being commenced and either prior to or in conjunction with final plat.

The applicant understands that lighting details (including design, height, and photometric specification of the proposed street lights) are required to be submitted to the Planning Department for approval prior to making the first application for a building permit.

FCC 11-3:
11.1 The final plat shall contain an Owner's Declaration recital, complete with the name and address of the property owner in accordance with FCC 11-3-2-C3 and the platting standards of ORS 92 for subdivisions.
11.2 The final plat prepared and submitted for final plat approval shall contain the elevations of all points used to determine contours with the required intervals of $1^{\prime} 0 \%$ to $5^{\prime} ; 2$ ' $5 \%$ to $10 \%$; and $5^{\prime}$ over $10 \%$

The applicant understands the final plat must contain the details described above under 11.1 and 11.2.
11.3 All final engineering details and plans are subject to review, revision and approval by the Florence Community Department, Public Works Director and or City Engineer. The applicant shall submit all required sewage disposal, flood control, and drainage facility plans prior to final plat.

Attachment 1, which includes construction-ready engineering details and plans for review by the Florence Community Department, Public Works Director and or City Engineer, satisfies this condition.

The applicant understands that the City will require certain as-builts and operations and maintenance information post construction.
11.4 A final grading plan in required prior to final plat. The final grading plan is subject to discretionary approval by Public Works and/or Engineering.
Attachment 1 Sheet C6 Overall Grading Plan satisfies this condition.
11.5 The final plat that is prepared shall contain a legal description in accordance with ORS 92 11.6 The applicant will be expected to proceed with final survey and to make preparations for final subdivision approval within the timeframes outlined in Title 11 Chapter 3-6 \& Chapter 4-4 \& 4-6 unless otherwise provided for through approved and
allowed extensions from the Planning Director. This tentative plan shall expire on June 22,2025 unless an extension request is received and approved

The applicant understands that their tentative subdivision plan approval will expire on June 22, 2025, and therefore they are required to construct or bond all proposed / approved public improvements and record the final plat prior to that date.
$>7.1$. FCC $10-23-5-\mathrm{H}-1$ states high quality building design using Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards or better. Different building facades and exterior design shall be used for each building grouping that meet the intent of FCC 10-6-6. This is to include but is not limited to a diversity of building materials and colors, window designs, garage door designs, roof eaves, light fixtures, driveway paving design/colors, and similar details etc. This shall be reviewed at final PUD.

FCC $10-23-\mathrm{H}-1$ applies because the applicant was granted certain modifications to desity, setbacks, and lot area. FCC 10-23-H-1 stipulates: "The project shall meet the development standards for the underlying zone including but not limited to height, density, coverage, setbacks, lot area. However, the applicant may propose modifications to those standards as part of the PUD application without the need for a separate variance or adjustment application subject to FCC 10-5. For all proposed modifications, the applicant shall submit application and show how the proposed modification achieves the following: "1. High quality building design using Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards or higher standards"

Attachment 3, including but not limited to Sheets S9 and S10, combined with the narrative below under 10-6-6 satisfy Condition 7.1 because the proposed building design meets Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards, and therefore the intent (and letter) of FCC 10-6-6.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6 Downtown Architectural Design

The Architectural Design criteria are designed to address and implement the Florence Downtown Architectural Guidelines. Where applicable, the following criteria consider the historical character of Florence through proper building massing, siting, and materials which reflect important aspects of Oregon's traditional Northwest architecture. The type of building to which this code may apply may differ by district. The following requirements are intended to create and maintain a built environment that is conducive to walking; reduces dependency on the automobile for short trips; provides natural surveillance of public spaces; creates a human-scale design, e.g., with buildings placed close to streets or other public ways and large building walls divided into smaller planes with detailing; and maintains the historic integrity of the community.

Development in the Old Town and Mainstreet districts shall comply with the standards in this section.

The City Planning Official, the City Planning Official's designee, or the Planning Commission may require any of the following conditions in order to establish a minimum level of design quality and compatibility between buildings. The Planning Commission may approve adjustments or variances to the standards as part of a site Design Review approval, pursuant with FCC 10-5 and 10-6, respectively.

The applicant's proposed design meets the standards of 10-6-6, as described below, and therefore (a) is considered by Florence City Code to incorporate proper building massing, siting, and materials and (b) meets all of the conditions the Planning Commission may require in order to establish a minimum level of design quality and compatibility between buildings.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-1 Building Type

These types of buildings currently exist within the applicable zoning districts and are compatible with each other, despite being different in their massing and form. The following building types are permitted in future development and infill. Other building types not listed which are compatible with the surrounding area and buildings and are compatible with the historic nature of the zoning district are also permitted. Not all types may be permitted or regulated in all zoning districts.
A. Residential Type, single-family, duplex (attached \& detached), or multi-family
B. Commercial Storefront Type
C. Mixed-Use House Type
D. Community Building Type

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-2 Building Style
A. Context: Each building or addition shall be designed within the context of its larger surroundings and environment in terms of overall street massing, scale and configuration.
B. Historic Style Compatibility: New and existing building design shall be consistent with the regional and local historical traditions. Where historic ornament and detail is not feasible, historic compatibility shall be achieved through the relation of vertical proportions of historic façades, windows and doors, and the simple vertical massing of historical buildings. Some examples of architectural styles currently or historically present in the Florence area are: Queen Anne, Shingle Style, Second Empire, Victorian, Italianate, Tudor Style, Craftsman Bungalow, American Foursquare, and Vernacular.

1. Existing buildings: Maintain and restore significant historic details.
2. New Buildings: Design shall be compatible with adjacent historic buildings.

## The proposed architectural style is Craftsman.

The proposed design is similar to neighboring single family, duplex, and small multifamily dwellings - one to two story, lap siding, 5:12 roof pitches.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-3 Building Facades

A. Horizontal Design Elements: Multi-story commercial storefront buildings shall have a distinctive horizontal base; second floor; and eave, cornice and/or parapet line; creating visual interest and relief. Horizontal articulations shall be made with features such as awnings, overhanging eaves, symmetrical gable roofs, material changes, or applied facia detail. New buildings and exterior remodels shall generally follow the prominent horizontal lines existing on adjacent buildings at similar levels along the street frontage.

Examples of such horizontal lines include but are not limited to: the base below a series of storefront windows; an existing awning or canopy line, or belt course between building stories; and/or an existing cornice or parapet line. Where existing adjacent buildings do not meet the City's current building design standards, a new building may establish new horizontal lines.

The roof-lines / horizontal lines of the proposed structures generally follow the prominent horizontal lines existing on adjacent structures (majority single family dwellings). The first-level/garage-level roof lines follow the roof lines of adjacent 1-story structures and the second level roof lines follow the roof lines of adjacent 2-story structures.
B. Vertical Design Elements: Commercial storefront building faces shall have distinctive vertical lines of emphasis spaced at relatively even intervals. Vertical articulations may be made by material changes, variations in roof heights, applied facia, columns, bay windows, etc. The maximum spacing of vertical articulations on long, uninterrupted building elevations shall be not less than one break for every 30 to 40 feet.

The proposed spacing of street-facing verticle articulations exceed this standard (variation in roof height spaced every 14 to 20 feet).
C. Articulation and Detailing: All building elevations that orient to a street or civic space must have breaks in the wall plane (articulation) of not less than one break for every 30 feet of building length or width, as applicable, as follows:

The proposed spacing of street-facing building elevations exceed this standard (variation in roof height spaced every 14 to 20 feet).

1. Plans shall incorporate design features such as varying rooflines, offsets, balconies, projections (e.g., overhangs, porches, or similar features), recessed or covered entrances, window reveals, or similar elements that break up otherwise long, uninterrupted elevations. Such elements shall occur at a minimum interval of 30-40 feet. In addition, each floor shall contain at least two elements meeting the following criteria:
a. Recess (e.g., porch, courtyard, entrance balcony, or similar feature) that has a minimum depth of 4 feet;
b. Extension (e.g., floor area, porch, entrance, balcony, overhang, or similar feature) that projects a minimum of 2 feet and runs horizontally for a minimum length of 4 feet; and/or
c. Offsets or breaks in roof elevation of 2 feet or greater in height.
d. A "break," for the purposes of this subsection, is a change in wall plane of not less than 24 inches in depth. Breaks may include, but are not limited to, an offset, recess, window reveal, pilaster, frieze, pediment, cornice, parapet, gable, dormer, eave, coursing, canopy, awning, column, building base, balcony, permanent awning or canopy, marquee, or similar architectural feature.

## Bottom Floor:

1) Offsets: in roof elevation of 2 feet or greater in height (garage roofs)
2) Extension: 22-23' foot deep, 14 ' wide garage extension every 14-20'
3) Recess: 3 ' foot deep, 9.5 ' wide front porch recess every 37.75 feet (center of each structure), on the first floor street-side.
4) Extension: garage roof overhang which projects $2^{\prime}$ and runs horizontally for 14 '.
5) Extension: approximately 13 ' wide terraces over two of the four garages on each structure (garages on the ends of each structure).

## Top Floor:

1) Extension: dormer windows (with roofs) every $\sim 10$ ' (four per structure)
2) Extension: top roof overhang which projects 2 ' and runs horizontally for 75 '.

The proposed design exceeds this design elements standard.
2. The Planning Commission, through Design Review, may approve detailing that does not meet the 24 -inch break-in-wall-plan standard where it finds that proposed detailing is more consistent with the architecture of historically significant or historicallycontributing buildings existing in the vicinity.

## N/A

3. Changes in paint color and features that are not designed as permanent architectural elements, such as display cabinets, window boxes, retractable and similar mounted awnings or canopies, and other similar features, do not meet the 24-inch break-in-wallplane standard.

N/A
4. Building elevations that do not orient to a street or civic space need not comply with the 24- inch break-in-wall-plan standard, but should complement the overall building design.

## N/A

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permited Visible Building Materials
Building materials which have the same or better performance may be substituted for the materials below provided that they have the same appearance as the listed materials.
A. Exterior Building Walls:

1. Lap siding, board and batten siding, shingles and shakes. Metal siding and vinyl siding shall not be permitted.
2. Brick or stone masonry with a minimum $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ deep solid veneer material.
3. Cement-based stucco.
4. Secondary materials: Any of the materials listed above as permitted may also be used as secondary materials or accents. In addition, the materials listed above are allowed as secondary materials, trims, or accents (e.g., flashing, wainscoting, awnings, canopies,
ornamentation) when non-reflective and compatible with the overall building design, subject to approval. Secondary materials may be used on up to $30 \%$ of the façade.

Lap siding (specifically Hardiplank lap siding) is proposed, which meets this exterior building wall standard.
B. Roofs, Awnings, Gutters, and Visible Roofing Components:

1. Composition shingles, concrete, slate or cedar shingles, or concrete or clay tiles. Red composition shingle similar to the Kyle Building are encouraged.

Composition shingles are proposed, meeting this roofing standard.
2. Standing seam roofing: copper, terne metal or coated metal.

N/A
3. Gutters and downspouts: copper, terne metal, or coated metal.

Galvanized steel with an acrillic coating (inside and out) with a rectancular downspout is proposed, meeting this gutter standard.
4. Single or multi-ply roofing, where visibly concealed.

## Wood ply roofing layer proposed to be concealed by composition shingles.

5. Glass, steel, wood or canvas fabric awnings.

## N/A

6. Skylights: metal and wood framed glass and translucent polymer.

N/A
C. Chimney Enclosures: Brick, cement-based stucco, stone masonry or wood shingles.

N/A
D. Windows, Entrances, and Accessories:

1. Wood, vinyl or pre-finished metal frames and sashes.

Vinyl windows, specifically Migard or equal, fixed picture and single-hung windows are proposed, meeting this window standard. See window schedule Attachment 2 Sheet S2.
2. Glazed and unglazed entry doors shall be wood, pre-finished or coated metal or fiberglass.

N/A
3. Solid wood or fiberglass shutters.

N/A
4. The use of decorative detailing and ornamentation around windows (e.g., corbels, medallions, pediments, or similar features) is encouraged.
E. Trellises, Decks, Stairs, Stoops, Porches, and Balconies

1. Architectural concrete, brick and stone masonry, solid wood or fiberglass columns, posts, piers and arches.

Solid wood posts are proposed, meeting this standard. See beam schedule Attachment 2 Sheet S2 Roof Plan.
2. Wood, brick, concrete and stone masonry decks, stoops, stairs, porches, and balconies.

Wood decks are proposed, meeting this standard.
3. Solid wood, painted welded steel or iron trellises.

N/A
4. Railings, balustrades, and related components shall be solid wood, painted welded steel or iron.

N/A
F. Landscape/Retaining Walls and Fences: Shall be subject to the FCC 10-34 and the following requirements:
[...]
N/A
G. Building and Site Material Colors: Color finishes on all building exteriors shall be approved by the City and be of a muted coastal Pacific Northwest palette. Reflective, luminescent, sparkling, primary, and "day-glow" colors and finishes are prohibited. The Planning Commission/Planning Commission or their designee may approve adjustments to the standards as part of a site Design Review approval.

Sherwin Williams, Benjamin Moore, or Rhodda paint is proposed. The proposed palete is green, brown, and tan, consistent with the Pacific Northwest palette.

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-5 Material Applications and Configurations
A. Building Walls:

1. For each building, there shall be one single, clearly dominant exterior wall material and finish.

Base paint color of each building proposed to be either tan, green or brown; trim proposed tan, green, or brown (brown with tan trim, green with brown trim, and so on). Garage doors proposed to be white.
2. Brick and stone front façades shall return at least 18 " around side walls.

## N/A

3. Building walls of more than one materials shall change along horizontal lines only, with a maximum of three materials permitted per façade.

N/A
4. Heavier materials, such as stone, shall only be used below lighter materials, such as siding.

N/A
5. Siding and shingles shall have a maximum 6 " to the weather.

Shingles proposed to have less than 6" of each shingle exposed (aka "to the weather").
6. 4" minimum width corner, skirt, rake and eave trim shall run the full height of each façade, flush, or protrude beyond the surrounding wall surface.

A 6' 'x6'' Douglas Fir Larch post is proposed to run the full height of each corner of each structure, meeting this standard.
7. Board and batten siding: battens shall be spaced a maximum of 8 " on center.

N/A
B. Roofs, Awnings, Gutters and Roofing Accessories:

1. Visibly sloped roofs shall pitch a minimum of $5: 12$ to a maximum $12: 12$ with symmetrical gable or hip configuration.

Roof pitches proposed 5:12 to 6:12, meeting this standard. (Dormers are 4:12.) See Attachment 2 Sheet S6.
2. Eaves shall be continuous except at sheds and dormers.

All proposed eaves are continuous except at dormers.
3. Shed roofs shall attach to the main building wall or roof ridge with minimum 3:1 slope.

N/A
4. Flat roofs shall be concealed by cornices or parapets.

N/A
5. Gutters shall be round or ogee profile. Leaders shall be round or square.

Gutters are proposed to be ogee profile; leaders proposed to be square.
6. All roof-mounted components such as mechanical equipment shall not be visible from street- level public rights-of-way.

N/A
7. Sloped roof eaves shall overhang exterior wall planes at least 12 " and shall be visibly supported by exposed rafter ends or other compatible architectural detailing.

Sloped roof eaves proposed to overhang $1.5^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ and are supported by visible wood beams. See Attachment 2 Sheet S6.
C. Towers:
[...]
N/A
D. Visible Windows, Glazing, and Entrances:

1. Windows shall be square and/or vertical rectangular shape with straight, bow, or arch tops.

Windows are proposed to be rectangular with straight tops and rectangular with arch tops (dormers), meeting this standard.
2. $10 \%$ of total windows maximum on the public façade may be circular, hexagonal, octagonal or other window configurations.

N/A
3. Bay windows shall have visible bracket support.

N/A
4. Overhead doors shall not face the building's primary street façade or a major public right-of- way.

The proposed garage doors are technically front-facing overhead doors. However, Florence City Code prefers street-facing garages, and garage doors are generally overhead doors. Therefore, in this case, the garage doors should be permitted to face the primary street. Also, as described above, the garages include several design features desired by 10-6-6, including: windows, roof elevation breaks, terraces.
5. Door and window shutters shall be sized to cover the entire window.

N/A
6. Exterior shutters shall be solid wood or fiberglass.

N/A
7. No single lite or glass panel visible from the street shall be greater than 24 square feet in area except in storefront glazing systems.
N/A
8. Multiple vertical windows may be grouped in the same horizontal opening provided they are separated by 4 " minimum width vertical trim.

N/A
9. Windows and doors in exterior walls shall be surrounded with $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ minimum width trim applied flush or projecting beyond the finished wall surface.

All trim (window and door) proposed to be a minimum 3'" width.
10. Profiles of window mullions shall extend out beyond the exterior glass surface. Windows shall have muntins which create True Divided Lights or a similar simulated appearance.

No mullions are proposed. Picture and single-hung windows proposed. See Attachment 2 Sheet S2 for window schedule.
E. Visible Decks and Balconies: All balconies and decks attached to building faces, whether cantilevered or supported below or above, shall be visibly supported by vertical and horizontal elements such as brackets, columns, or beams. Exterior posts and columns, solid or encased, shall be minimum $51 / 2$ " in cross-section.

Balconies and decks are attached to the rear of the building. Regardless, they are supported by verticle and horizontal wooden beams. See beam schedule on Attachment 2 Sheet S5.
F. Visible Landscape/Retaining Walls and Fences:
[...]
N/A
G. Mechanical Equipment:
[...]
N/A

## Final Development Plan Standards

Title 10: Chapter 23: Planned Unit Development (PUD): 10-23-11: Approval of the Final Development Plan

1. Within one year following the approval of the preliminary development plan, the applicant shall file with the Planning Commission a final development plan containing in final form the information required in the preliminary plan. The Planning Commission may grant a one- time extension of one (1) year maximum duration based on compliance with the following criteria:
[...]
Preliminary subdivision and prelimnary PUD approval was granted June 26, 2023. This final PUD application is filed August 29, 2023.
2. Final development plans shall include plans for proposed:
a. Storm drainage.
b. Sewer and water utilities.
c. Streets, pedestrian ways, trails and paths.
d. Preliminary subdivision plan, if property is proposed to be divided.
e. Open Space and Parklands to be dedicated to the public or held in Homeowner Association ownership. (Ord. No. 2, Series 2011)
3. Plans for public improvements shall be prepared by a Registered Engineer and shall be approved by City staff before final approval by the Planning Commission.
4. If the Planning Commission finds evidence of a material deviation from the preliminary development plan, the Planning Commission shall advise the applicant to submit an application for amendment of the planned unit development. An amendment shall be considered in the same manner as an original application.

See Attachments 1 for final development plans, prepared by Clinton Beecroft, PE. And Attachment 2 and 3 for final architectural and landscaping plans.




| COORDINATE GEOMETRY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {ı. }}$. | descripton | Easting | мооттим |
| priA | CENTERLINE 37TH STREET AT STATION $18+00.00$ | 47785.54 | 937161.18 |
| pr-B |  | 427330.00 | 93715985 |
| pr.c |  | 4276024 | ${ }_{97158882}$ |
| pT-D | centrbuline 374 strber tat station | 4270959 | ${ }_{93715482}$ |
| Pr-E | CENTERLINE EAST MYRTLE LOOP AT STATION $2+00.00$ | ${ }^{427601.08}$ | ${ }^{97705883}$ |


| SURVEY CONTROL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {I. }}$. | Descriptow | enting | мовтним | elevation |
| CPM | MMGNALL WWSSHER | 42786674 | 937138.59 | ${ }^{17.74}$ |
| Cph2 | MAGNall wwasher | 4272123 | 93715278 | ${ }^{7} .41$ |
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## Stormwater Management Report Supplemental

# Final Stormwater System Calculations 

Myrtle Glenn PUD

Map 18-12-22-11 Tax Lots 200, 1100, and 1200
Florence, Oregon
August 1, 2023


## Applicant

William Johnson Construction, Inc.
PO Box 1176
Florence, OR 97439
Engineer/Surveyor
EGR \& Associates, Inc.
2535B Prairie Road
Eugene, Oregon 97402

This page intentionally left blank.

DISCHARGE AT OVERFLOW PIPES ARE THE
CALCULATED 25-YEAR PEAK FLOW RATE TO
FORNWATER FACILITY TAKEN FROM THE
FACILITY SIZING SPREADSHEETS

| PIPE I.D. | DIAMETER <br> INCHES | LENGTH, FT. | SLOPE <br> PERCENT | INFLOW | FLOW, <br> CFS | NORMAL <br> DEPTH, <br> INCHES | VELOCITY, <br> FPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P9 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 90 | 1.00 | P8+OF2C | 0.897 | 5.1 | 3.8 |
| P10 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 100 | 1.00 | P9+OF2D | 1.202 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| P11 | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 96 | 1.00 | P10+OF2E | 1.839 | 7.0 | 4.5 |
| P12 | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 33 | 1.00 | P11+P19+OF2F | 2.158 | 8.1 | 4.6 |
| P13 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 15 | 1.49 | P3+P6+P12 | 2.913 | 7.2 | 5.9 |
| P14 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 35 | 1.00 | OF1D | 0.130 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| P15 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 22 | 6.27 | OF2G | 0.114 | 1.1 | 4.2 |
| P16 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 10 | 1.00 | P15+P18+OF1H2 | 0.267 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| P17 | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 99 | 0.50 | P16+P14 | 0.397 | 3.5 | 2.4 |
| P18 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 50 | 1.00 | OF1H1 | 0.086 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| P19 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 77 | 5.20 | AD2H | 0.170 | 1.3 | 4.4 |

FIGURE 2
STORM PIPE SUMMARY
MYRTLE GLENN PUD
FLORENCE, OREGON
aUGUST 1, 2023
EGR \& Associates, Inc. Engineers, Geologitts, and Surveyors
2535B Prairie Road
Eugene, Oregon 97402
Fax (541) ${ }^{(541)} 6888-88827$

S:|Proiects|7091 Mike Johnson|22-0187 37th StreetPhase 1 PEPIISheetsI70910AK OCRS88 Stormwater.dwa LAYOUT=Fiqure 2 Aua 02. 2023-8:54am





| Stormwater Surface Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet 24 Hour Storm, NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development $\mathrm{CN}=$ | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | 10 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4$)$ <br> 4 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate | $4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ |  |  |











| Stormwater Surface Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet 24 Hour Storm, NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development CN= | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | 10 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4) <br> $4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate | 4 in/hr |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ |  |  |



| Stormwater Surface Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet 24 Hour Storm, NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development CN= | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | 10 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4$)$ <br> 4 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate | 4 in/hr |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ |  |  |



| Stormwater Surf <br> 24 Hour Storm, <br> City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 | face Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development CN= | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | 10 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4) <br> $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate | $4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
|  | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| Facility Type= Surface Width= Surface Length= Facility Side Slopes $=$ Max. Ponding Depth in Stormwater Facility= |  |  |





| Stormwater Surface Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet 24 Hour Storm, NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development CN= | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | 10 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4$)$ <br> 4 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate | $4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ |  |  |





| Stormwater Surface Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet 24 Hour Storm, NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development CN= | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | 10 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4$)$ <br> 4 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate | 4 in/hr |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ |  |  |









| Stormwater Surface Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet 24 Hour Storm, NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development $\mathrm{CN}=$ | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | $10 \left\lvert\,$$\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4) <br> $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate\right. | $4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ |  | 430.44 sqft <br> 83 ft <br> 217 sqft <br> 59 ft <br> 341.9  <br> 0.101  <br> cff  |





| Stormwater Surf <br> 24 Hour Storm, <br> City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 | face Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. <br> For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development $\mathrm{CN}=$ | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | 10 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4$)$ <br> 4 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate | $4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ | Infiltration Rain Garden Facility Surface Area= <br> 20.2 ft <br> Facility Surface Perimeter=  <br> Facility Bottom Area=  |  |



| Stormwater Surface Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet 24 Hour Storm, NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development $\mathrm{CN}=$ | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | 10 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4$)$ <br> 4 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate | $4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ | Infiltration Rain Garden Facility Surface Area=  <br> 20 ft  <br> 49 ft  <br> 3 to 1 Facility Surface Perimeter $=$ <br> Facility Bottom Area <br> 12 Facility Bottom Perimeter $=$  <br> in Basin Volume $=$  |  |





| Stormwater Surface Filtration/Infiltration Facility Sizing Spreadsheet 24 Hour Storm, NRCS Type 1A Rainfall Distribution City of Eugene <br> Version 2.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information |  |  |
| Instructions: <br> 1. Complete this form for each drainage catchment in the project site that is to be sized per the Presumptive Approach. <br> 2. Provide a distinctive Catchment ID for each facility coordinated with the site basin map to correlate the appropriate calculations with the facility. <br> 3. The maximum drainage catchment to be modeled per the Presumptive Approach is 1 acre ( $43,560 \mathrm{SF}$ ) <br> 4.For infiltration facilities in Class A or B soils where no infiltration testing has been perfromed use an infiltration rate of $0.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. For all facilities use a maximum soil infiltration rate of $2.5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for topsoil/growing medium. |  |  |
| Design Requirements: |  |  |
| Choose "Yes" from the dropdown boxes below next to the design standards requirements for this facility. |  |  |
| Site Data-Post Development |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Site Data-Pre Development (Data in this section is only used if Flow Control is required) |  |  |
| Pre-Development CN= | 73 Time of Concentration Pre-Development= | 5 min |
| Soil Data |  |  |
| Tested Soil Infiltration Rate= Design Soil Infiltration Rate= | $10 \left\lvert\,$$\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}($ See Note 4) <br> $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$Destination Design= <br> Soil Infiltration Rate\right. | $4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Design Storms Used For Calculations |  |  |
| Requirement Rainfall Depth D  <br> Pollution Reduction 0.8 inches V <br> Flow Control 5.1 inches F <br> Destination 5.1 inches F | Design Storm <br> Water Quality <br> Flood Control <br> Flood Control |  |
| Facility Data |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Facility Type=} \\ \text { Surface Width }= \\ \text { Surface Length }= \\ \text { Facility Side Slopes= } \\ \text { Max. Ponding Depth } \\ \text { in Stormwater Facility= } \\ \text { Depth of Growing Medium (Soil)= } \end{array}$ |  |  |





## Hyd. No. 1

## Area Drain

| Hydrograph type | $=$ SCS Runoff | Peak discharge | $=0.172 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storm frequency | $=25$ yrs | Time to peak | $=7.97 \mathrm{rfs}$ |
| Time interval | $=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | Hyd. volume | $=2,716 \mathrm{cuft}$ |
| Drainage area | $=0.350$ ac | Curve number | $=73$ |
| Basin Slope | $=0.0 \%$ | Hydraulic length | $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Tc method | $=$ User | Time of conc. (Tc) | $=5.00 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| Total precip. | $=5.00$ in | Distribution | $=$ Type IA |
| Storm duration | $=24 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Shape factor | $=484$ |



## Worksheet for Overflow 1A

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.056 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 76.96 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 73.17 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 77.00 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.04 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -3.79 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.08 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.67 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.17 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1B-1

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.103 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 76.34 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 71.79 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 76.40 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.06 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -4.55 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.82 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.21 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1B-2

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input Data | 0.069 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge | 75.97 | ft |
| Crest Elevation | 71.04 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation | 3.33 | US |
| Weir Coefficient | 2.09 | ft |
| Crest Length |  |  |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |
| Results | 76.02 | ft |
| Headwater Elevation | 0.05 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest | -4.93 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest | 0.10 | $\mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 0.72 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 2.18 | ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 2.09 | ft |
| Top Width |  |  |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1B-3

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input Data | 0.067 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge | 75.70 | ft |
| Crest Elevation | 70.67 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation | 3.33 | US |
| Weir Coefficient | 2.09 | ft |
| Crest Length |  |  |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |
| Results | 75.75 | ft |
| Headwater Elevation | 0.05 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest | -5.03 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest | 0.09 | $\mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 0.71 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 2.18 | ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 2.09 | ft |
| Top Width |  |  |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1C

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input Data | 0.105 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge | 75.04 | ft |
| Crest Elevation | 69.19 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation | 3.33 | US |
| Weir Coefficient | 2.09 | ft |
| Crest Length |  |  |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |
| Results | 75.10 | ft |
| Headwater Elevation | 0.06 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest | -5.85 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 0.82 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 2.21 | ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 2.09 | ft |
| Top Width |  |  |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1D

Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.130 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 74.33 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 69.67 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 74.40 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.07 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -4.66 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.15 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.88 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.23 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1E-1

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input Data | 0.083 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge | 76.75 | ft |
| Crest Elevation | 72.25 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation | 3.33 | US |
| Weir Coefficient | 2.09 | ft |
| Crest Length |  |  |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |
| Results | 76.80 | ft |
| Headwater Elevation | 0.05 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest | -4.50 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest | 0.11 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 0.76 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 2.19 | ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 2.09 | ft |
| Top Width |  |  |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1E-2

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input Data | 0.063 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge | 76.42 | ft |
| Crest Elevation | 71.80 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation | 3.33 | US |
| Weir Coefficient | 2.09 | ft |
| Crest Length |  |  |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |
| Results | 76.46 | ft |
| Headwater Elevation | 0.04 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest | -4.62 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest | 0.09 | $\mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 0.69 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 2.18 | ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 2.09 | ft |
| Top Width |  |  |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1F-1

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.063 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 75.97 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 70.84 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 76.01 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.04 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -5.13 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.09 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.69 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.18 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1F-2

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.060 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 75.74 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 70.39 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 75.78 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.04 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -5.35 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.09 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.68 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.17 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1G

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.086 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 75.24 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 69.50 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 75.29 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.05 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -5.74 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.11 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.77 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.20 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1H-1

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.086 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 74.66 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 69.92 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 74.71 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.05 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -4.74 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.11 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.77 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.20 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 1H-2

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input Data | 0.067 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge | 74.38 | ft |
| Crest Elevation | 69.42 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation | 3.33 | US |
| Weir Coefficient | 2.09 | ft |
| Crest Length |  |  |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 | 74.43 |
| Results | 0.05 | ft |
| Headwater Elevation | -4.96 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest | 0.09 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest | 0.71 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Flow Area | 2.18 | ft |
| Velocity | 2.09 | ft |
| Wetted Perimeter |  |  |
| Top Width |  |  |

## Worksheet for Overflow 2A

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.131 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 77.63 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 73.84 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 77.70 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.07 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -3.79 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.15 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.89 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.23 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 2B

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input Data | 0.428 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge | 77.18 | ft |
| Crest Elevation | 73.14 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation | 3.33 | US |
| Weir Coefficient | 2.09 | ft |
| Crest Length |  |  |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |
| Results | 77.34 | ft |
| Headwater Elevation | 0.16 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest | -4.04 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest | 0.33 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Flow Area | 1.31 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity | 2.40 | ft |
| Wetted Perimeter | 2.09 | ft |
| Top Width |  |  |

## Worksheet for Overflow 2C

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.338 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 76.71 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 72.19 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 76.84 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.13 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -4.52 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.28 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.36 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 2D

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.305 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 76.27 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 71.29 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 76.39 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.12 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -4.98 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.26 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 1.17 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.34 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 2E

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.637 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 75.60 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 70.13 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.62 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 75.77 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.17 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -5.47 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.46 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 1.39 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.97 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.62 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 2F

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.149 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 75.47 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 70.00 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 75.55 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.08 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -5.47 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.16 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.92 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.24 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Overflow 2G

## Project Description

| Solve For | Headwater Elevation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input Data |  |  |  |
| Discharge |  | 0.114 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Crest Elevation |  | 76.00 | ft |
| Tailwater Elevation |  | 70.80 | ft |
| Weir Coefficient |  | 3.33 | US |
| Crest Length |  | 2.09 | ft |
| Number Of Contractions | 0 |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Headwater Elevation |  | 76.06 | ft |
| Headwater Height Above Crest |  | 0.06 | ft |
| Tailwater Height Above Crest |  | -5.20 | ft |
| Flow Area |  | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Velocity |  | 0.85 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 2.22 | ft |
| Top Width |  | 2.09 | ft |

## Worksheet for Pipe P1C

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.056 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 1.2 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.03 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.52 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.7 | in |
| Top Width | 0.47 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.11 | ft |
| Percent Full | 14.7 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00680 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 1.76 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.05 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.15 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.20 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00002 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 14.66 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P1C

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

Infinity ft/s
1.2 in
0.11 ft
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00680 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P1B

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |

## Input Data

| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.159 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 2.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.07 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.69 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.2 | in |
| Top Width | 0.57 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.18 | ft |
| Percent Full | 24.5 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00643 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.40 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.09 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.25 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.24 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00017 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 24.51 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P1B

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

| Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2.0 | in |
| 0.18 | ft |
| 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| 0.00643 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

## Worksheet for Pipe P1A

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |

## Input Data

| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.228 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 2.4 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.09 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.76 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.3 | in |
| Top Width | 0.61 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.22 | ft |
| Percent Full | 29.4 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00646 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.66 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.11 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.31 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.25 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00036 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 29.45 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P1A

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

Infinity ft/s
2.4 in
0.22 ft
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00646 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P2

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.295 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 2.7 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.10 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.82 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.5 | in |
| Top Width | 0.63 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.25 | ft |
| Percent Full | 33.6 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00651 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.86 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.13 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.35 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.25 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00060 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 33.62 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P2

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
2.7 in
0.25 ft

Critical Slope
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00651 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P3

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.400 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth |  | 3.2 | in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Area |  | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter |  | 0.91 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius |  | 1.7 | in |
| Top Width |  | 0.65 | ft |
| Critical Depth |  | 0.29 | ft |
| Percent Full |  | 39.6 | \% |
| Critical Slope |  | 0.00675 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity |  | 3.10 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head |  | 0.15 | ft |
| Specific Energy |  | 0.41 | ft |
| Froude Number |  | 1.23 |  |
| Maximum Discharge |  | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Discharge Full |  | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Slope Full |  | 0.00110 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type | SuperCritical |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 39.65 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P3

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
3.2 in
0.29 ft

Critical Slope
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00675 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P4C

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |

## Input Data

| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.083 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3 / \mathrm{s}}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 1.4 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.04 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.58 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.9 | in |
| Top Width | 0.51 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.13 | ft |
| Percent Full | 17.7 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00664 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 1.98 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.06 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.18 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.22 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00005 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 17.75 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P4C

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

Infinity ft/s
1.4 in
0.13 ft
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00664 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P4B

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.146 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 1.9 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.06 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.67 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.1 | in |
| Top Width | 0.56 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.17 | ft |
| Percent Full | 23.5 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00645 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.34 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.09 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.24 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.24 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00015 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 23.45 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P4B

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope
1.9 in
0.17 ft
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00645 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P4A

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.209 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 2.3 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.08 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.75 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.3 | in |
| Top Width | 0.60 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.21 | ft |
| Percent Full | 28.1 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00641 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.59 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.10 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.29 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.25 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00030 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 28.14 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P4A

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

Infinity ft/s
2.3 in
0.21 ft
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00641 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{tt}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P5

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |

## Input Data

| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.269 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 2.6 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.10 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.80 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.4 | in |
| Top Width | 0.62 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.24 | ft |
| Percent Full | 32.1 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00649 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.78 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.12 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.33 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.24 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00050 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 32.10 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P5

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
0.24 ft

Critical Slope
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00649 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P6

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.355 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 3.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.12 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.87 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.6 | in |
| Top Width | 0.64 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.28 | ft |
| Percent Full | 37.1 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00663 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 3.01 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.14 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.39 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.24 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00086 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 37.12 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SoBtintleyEhterMaster V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03]

## Worksheet for Pipe P6

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
3.0 in
0.28 ft

Critical Slope
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00663 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P7

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.131 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 1.8 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.06 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.65 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.1 | in |
| Top Width | 0.55 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.17 | ft |
| Percent Full | 22.2 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00650 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.27 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.08 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.23 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.24 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00012 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 22.23 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P7

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
1.8 in
0.17 ft

Critical Slope
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00650 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P8

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.559 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3 / \mathrm{s}}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 3.8 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.16 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.02 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.9 | in |
| Top Width | 0.67 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.35 | ft |
| Percent Full | 47.8 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00720 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 3.40 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.18 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.50 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.20 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00214 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 47.77 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P8

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
3.8 in
0.35 ft

Critical Slope
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00720 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P9

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.897 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results



## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 64.17 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SoBtintleyEhterMaster V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03]

## Worksheet for Pipe P9

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
5.1 in
0.45 ft

Critical Slope
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00871 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P10

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 1.202 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 6.5 | in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Area | 0.30 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.50 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 2.4 | in |
| Top Width | 0.52 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.52 | ft |
| Percent Full | 81.5 | \% |
| Critical Slope | 0.01093 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 3.95 | ft/s |
| Velocity Head | 0.24 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.79 | $f t$ |
| Froude Number | 0.91 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00990 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 81.49 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P10

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

| Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 6.5 | in |
| 0.52 | ft |
| 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| 0.01093 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

## Worksheet for Pipe P11

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 10 | in |
| Discharge | 1.839 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 7.0 | $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.41 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.65 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 3.0 | in |
| Top Width | 0.76 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.61 | ft |
| Percent Full | 70.1 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00903 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 4.50 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.31 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.90 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.08 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 2.36 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 2.19 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00705 | $\mathrm{ft}_{\mathrm{ft}}$ |
| Flow Type | SuperCritical |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 70.14 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P11

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

Infinity ft/s
7.0 in
0.61 ft
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00903 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P12

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 10 | in |
| Discharge | 2.158 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 8.1 | $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.47 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 1.86 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 3.0 | in |
| Top Width | 0.66 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.66 | ft |
| Percent Full | 80.6 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.01044 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 4.58 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.33 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 1.00 | ft |
| Froude Number | 0.95 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 2.36 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 2.19 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00970 | $\mathrm{ft}_{\mathrm{ft}}$ |
| Flow Type | SubCritical |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 80.64 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P12

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity

| Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 8.1 | in |
| 0.66 | ft |
| 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| 0.01044 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

## Worksheet for Pipe P13

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01490 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 12 | in |
| Discharge | 2.913 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 7.2 <br> in <br> 0.49 <br> $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> Flow Area <br> Wetted Perimeter <br> Hydraulic Radius <br> Top Width <br> Critical Depth <br> Percent Full <br> Crt |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Critical Slope | 0.3 | in |
| Velocity | 0.98 | ft |
| Velocity Head | 0.73 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 59.9 | $\%$ |
| Froude Number | 0.00852 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Maximum Discharge | 5.93 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 0.55 | ft |
| Slope Full | 1.15 | ft |
| Flow Type | 1.48 |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 59.89 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P13

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope
7.2 in
0.73 ft
$0.01490 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00852 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{tt}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P14

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.130 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 1.8 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.06 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.65 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.1 | in |
| Top Width | 0.55 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.16 | ft |
| Percent Full | 22.1 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00650 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.26 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.08 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.23 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.24 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00012 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 22.15 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P14

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

| 1.8 | in |
| ---: | :--- |
| 0.16 | ft |
| 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

## Worksheet for Pipe P15

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.06270 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.114 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 1.1 <br> in <br> Flow Area <br> 0.03 <br> $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.50 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.7 | in |
| Top Width | 0.45 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.15 | ft |
| Percent Full | 13.3 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00650 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 4.15 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.27 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.36 | ft |
| Froude Number | 2.97 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 3.25 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 3.03 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00009 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 13.26 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P15

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

| 1.1 | in |
| ---: | :--- |
| 0.15 | ft |
| 0.06270 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |

## Worksheet for Pipe P16

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.267 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 2.6 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.10 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.80 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 1.4 | in |
| Top Width | 0.62 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.24 | ft |
| Percent Full | 32.0 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00649 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.78 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.12 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.33 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.24 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00049 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 31.97 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P16

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope
Infinity ft/s
2.6 in
0.24 ft
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00649 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P17

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.00500 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 10 | in |
| Discharge | 0.397 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results



## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 34.54 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P17

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope
Infinity ft/s
3.5 in
0.27 ft
$0.00500 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00599 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{tt}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P18

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |


| Input Data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| Channel Slope | 0.01000 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.086 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 1.4 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.04 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.59 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.9 | in |
| Top Width | 0.51 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.13 | ft |
| Percent Full | 18.1 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00664 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 2.00 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.06 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.18 | ft |
| Froude Number | 1.22 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 1.30 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 1.21 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00005 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 18.06 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## Worksheet for Pipe P18

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope
1.4 in
0.13 ft
$0.01000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$
$0.00664 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

## Worksheet for Pipe P19

## Project Description

| Friction Method | Manning Formula |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solve For | Normal Depth |

## Input Data

| Roughness Coefficient | 0.013 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Channel Slope | 0.05200 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Diameter | 8 | in |
| Discharge | 0.170 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## Results

| Normal Depth | 1.3 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Flow Area | 0.04 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Wetted Perimeter | 0.56 | ft |
| Hydraulic Radius | 0.8 | in |
| Top Width | 0.50 | ft |
| Critical Depth | 0.19 | ft |
| Percent Full | 16.8 | $\%$ |
| Critical Slope | 0.00642 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Velocity | 4.38 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity Head | 0.30 | ft |
| Specific Energy | 0.41 | ft |
| Froude Number | 2.77 |  |
| Maximum Discharge | 2.96 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Discharge Full | 2.76 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Slope Full | 0.00020 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Flow Type |  |  |

## GVF Input Data

| Downstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | 0.00 | ft |
| Number Of Steps | 0 |  |

## GVF Output Data

| Upstream Depth | 0.0 | in |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Profile Description |  |  |
| Profile Headloss | 0.00 | ft |
| Average End Depth Over Rise | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Normal Depth Over Rise | 16.83 | $\%$ |
| Downstream Velocity | Infinity | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SoBtintleyEhterMaster V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03]

## Worksheet for Pipe P19

## GVF Output Data

Upstream Velocity
Infinity ft/s
Normal Depth
Critical Depth
Channel Slope
Critical Slope

| 1.3 | in |
| ---: | :--- |
| 0.19 | ft |
| 0.05200 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |



| TO | City of Florence Planning Department |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM | Hailey Sheldon on behalf of David Bielenberg and Mike Johnson |
| SUBJECT | Myrtle Glen Subdivision: Addendum to Final PUD Application / Response to <br> Notice of Incompleteness |
| DATE | October 16, 2023 <br>  <br>  <br> New Attachments to Final PUD Application: <br> ENCLOSED5. Architectural Plans for Triplexes <br> 6. Paint Colors Plan <br> 7. Depiction of Proposed Design vs Old Town \& Mainstreet Architectural <br> Standards |

Please accept below our responses (in black font) to your 10/02/2023 Notice of Incompleteness (in grey).
6.2 The applicant shall provide a minimum 5-foot rear yard setback for each individual lot in compliance with FCC 10-10-4-D.

- Applicant narrative states this information is included on Attachment 1 Sheet G1 Cover.
- Sheet G1 does not include dimensions of the rear yard setback.
- Include dimension to demonstrate the rear yard setback has been met and Condition 6.2 has been satisfied.
- Applicant narrative states Attachment 2, Sheet S1 depicts the rear porch dimensions / protrusion.
- Sheet S1 depicts the rear porch and provides dimension. However, the rear porch is not a rear yard setback. The sheet indicates a 3-foot-deep concrete landing and 11 1/4" step.
- A rear lot line and evidence the 5-foot minimum setback is not satisfied with this image.

The applicant understands and agrees to provide a minimum 5-foot rear yard setback for each individual lot; our submitted civil plans depict this 5 -foot rear setback.

Attachment 1 Sheet G1 Cover Sheet (which is to scale) satisfies this condition. Attachment 2, Sheet S 1 depicts additional information (plans for porch and concrete landing). The porches are proposed to be built up to rear setback line; the (uncovered) concrete landings are proposed to be built in the back yards.
6.4 The applicant shall either provide long term bike parking that meets criteria in accordance with FCC 10-3-10-C or the applicant shall provide other long term bicycle parking onsite in accordance with FCC 10-3-10, this required long-term bicycle parking may either be located on individual sites or in common space. Long term bike parking will be verified prior to Certificate of Occupancy of each unit if provided on the individual lots, or with final PUD if provided in common or open space.

- Applicant states long term bike parking to be located in individual lots. This can meet the condition provided Code criteria of FCC 10-3-10 are met.
- Interior garage dimensions are not included in Attachment 2. Interior garage dimension are required to allow staff to calculate if FCC 10-3-10 criteria for long term bicycle parking can be met with design as proposed.

Long term bicycle parking is proposed to be located on individual lots.
Note the standard to be met:
10-3-10-C-1.: For residential developments that provide parking through a garage, bicycle parking may be provided as a wall-mounted rack located inside the garage. The minimum clearance distance from the wall to the automobile parking space shall be four feet ( $4^{\prime}$ ).

FCC 10-3-9-A: Motor vehicle parking spaces shall measure nine (9) feet and six (6) inches wide by nineteen (19) feet long.
Attachment 2 Sheet S2 depicts the interior dimensions of the garages, which are 13 feet wide and 22 to 23 feet deep. See below. The garages fit a standard city parking space (which is wider and longer than the vehicles which use them) and a $4 \times 6$ ' bicycle space.

7.1. FCC 10-23-5-H-1 states high quality building design using Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards or better. Different building facades and exterior design shall be used for each building grouping that meet the intent of FCC 10-6-6. This is to include but is not limited to a diversity of building materials and colors, window designs, garage door designs, roof eaves, light fixtures, driveway paving design/colors, and similar details etc. This shall be reviewed at final PUD.

- Applicant narrative Attachment 3 combined with the narrative included on pages 1321 "satisfies Condition 7.1 because the proposed building design meets Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards, and therefore the intent (and letter) of FCC 10-66."
- Condition 7.1 specifically requires each grouping of buildings to include a diversity of building materials, colors, window designs, etc. This condition is not met through Attachment 3 or the narrative statement.
- All units and buildings appear to have the same materials and colors. This condition is not met.

Please see attached:

- New Exhibit 5: Architectural Plans for Triplexes
- New Exhibit 6: Paint Colors Plan

These new exhibits depict:

- Siding variation between buildings: triplex garage gables are proposed to be sided with Hardy cement board shingle patterned siding (as opposed to the Hardy plank horizontal lap siding on the fourplex garage gables).
- Depiction of paint color proposal

Our design proposes a diversity of building materials, colors, window designs, and "etc," - both between buildings and units. These include:

1) Differences in building facades and exterior designs of buildings:

- Variation in paint colors between buildings.
- Variation in type of structure (triplexes and fourplexes)
- Variation in garage gable siding between triplexes and fourplexes

2) Differences in building facades and exterior designs of units:

- Variation in terracing between units. Only end units are proposed with 1' deep terraces above the garage doors.
- Variation in rooflines between units. End units are proposed with lower garage rooflines than middle units.
- Variation in garage depth between units. The end unit garages protrude 1' further into the driveway / towards $37^{\text {th }}$ Street than the middle units.

3) Diversity of building materials and color:

- Diversity of siding:
- Building siding:
- Front, rear, and side elevations: Hardy plank cement horizontal lap siding
- End gable siding:
- Side elevations: Hardy cement board shingle patterned siding
- Garage gables: varies between buildings between: Hardy cement board shingle patterned siding and Hardy plank horizontal lap siding.
- Diversity of other materials:
- Doors and windows are proposed to be trimmed with wood.
- Roof shingles are proposed to wrap over eave.
- Diversity of color:
- Base paint color of each building proposed to alternate, as depicted on Attachment
- Diversity of window designs:
- Four types of windows are proposed for each unit, of varying sizes: fixed picture, half circle, single hung, and horizontal sliders.
- Note that the second floor dormer windows are true (not faux) dormers.
- See Attachment 2 Sheet S2 for the windows schedule.
- Diversity of garage door designs:
- No diversity of garage door design is proposed. However, diversity of garage design is proposed:
- Variation in garage gable siding. The garage gable siding is proposed to alternate between triplexes and fourplexes.
- Variation in terracing. Only end units are proposed with 1' deep terraces above the garage doors.
- Variation in garage depth. The end unit garages protrude 1 ' further into the driveway / towards $37^{\text {th }}$ Street than the middle units.
- Variation in garage rooflines. The garage rooflines vary between end and middle units.
- Diversity of roof eaves:
- Roof eave elevation changes over the garages, front porches, and dormer windows.
7.1. (...cont...)
- The units as proposed do not meet FCC 10-6 design criteria for Old Town and Mainstreet architectural standards or better. Below is a list of examples where the design does not meet these standards. This is not meant to be an all-inclusive list.
- FCC 10-6-6 states requirements "intended to create and maintain a built environment that is conducive to walking; reduces dependency on the automobile for short trips; provides natural surveillance of public spaces; creates a humanscale design, e.g., with buildings placed close to streets or other public ways."
- Additional details and evidence to support the statements in FCC 10-6-6 are required.
- Examples of items that are not included or met include, but are not limited to, a built environment conducive to walking; reduces dependency on the automobile for short trips (the most prominent feature of this PUD is the share parking and garages that are street facing) natural surveillance of the built environment (there are no front porches proposed and the only window facing public spaces are on the second floor).
- FCC 10-6-6-2-B Historical Style Compatibility list 'Craftsman Bungalow' as a style example. The applicant narrative states the proposed architectural style is Craftsman.
- Additional details are required to provide evidence the proposed house design meets a craftsman bungalow architectural style based on definitions provided in FCC 10-2 or Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language, Unabridged which is considered a standard reference according to FCC 10-2-13.
- FCC 10-6-6-5-D-4: Overhead doors shall not face the building's façade or a major public ROW.
- Applicant narrative argues that "Florence City Code prefers street facing garages ... " FCC 10-10-7-8-3 states the intent of attached single unit dwellings is to ensure minimal visual impact from vehicular use and storage areas. Florence City Code related to attached single unit dwellings specifically include intent to minimize visual impacts of vehicular storage such as garages and does not encourage street facing garages.

Note the standard to be met:
FCC 10-23-H-1: "The project shall meet the development standards for the underlying zone including but not limited to height, density, coverage, setbacks, lot area. However, the applicant may propose modifications to those standards as part of the PUD application without the need for a separate variance or adjustment application subject to FCC 10-5. For all proposed modifications, the applicant shall submit application and show how the proposed modification achieves the following: " 1 . High quality building design using Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards or higher standards [...]"

Separately, but related: Condition 7.1 stipulates, in addition, that "Different building facades and exterior design shall be used for each building grouping that meet the intent of FCC 10-6-6."

Our application narrative, pages 11-20, details (line by line) how the proposed design meets and in some places exceeds - the overwhelming majority of Old Town and Mainstreet architectural standards - in order to demonstrate that we can meet the PUD standard of FCC 10-23-H-1. (In addition, our application narrative describes how we meet the diversity stipulation of Condition 7.1.)

Please see also new Attachment 7, which is a spreadsheet view of our proposal vs Old Town and Mainstreet architectural standards - intended to showcase we meet the overwhelming majority of those standards and therefore (and particularly with the addition of the diversity elements meeting Condition 7.1) - meet the standard of FCC $10-23-\mathrm{H}-1$.
7.2 The applicant shall submit a final grading plan illustrating all cuts and fills and final 1
ft . contours and grades to the edges of the development on all sides prior to final PUD.

- Applicant narrative states this information is included on Sheet C6 of Attachment 1. Please label the contours on the north side of the plan like provided in the southwest corner and north of Lot 23. The line type for "grading limits, typ" is overlain with other contours on the west side. Please label the grading limits where the dash dot line is not clearly visible.

Attachment 1 Sheet C6 Overall Site Grading Plan and Profile Views satisfies this condition. See Attachment 1 Sheet G3, which depicts the existing contours on site. Then see Attachment 1 Sheet C6 Overall Site Grading Plan and Profile Views. Sheet C6 depicts the limits of the grading area, over the existing contours.
7.3 With final PUD application a landscape and vegetation retention plan shall be submitted for the entire development. The buffer to the north shall include trees and shrubs planted or retained at a ratio of at least one tree per 30 ft . The Oak St. frontage and
the 37th St. frontage adjacent to unit 25 shall include landscaping consisting of at least one tree for every 30 feet of frontage. .

- Applicant narrative states Attachment 3 Landscaping Plan Satisfies this condition.
- Details on the northern native vegetation buffer were not included. Staff is unable to determine based on landscaping plans submitted if trees along the northern property line will be retained at the minimum required one tree per 30 linear feet. - This portion of the condition is not met.
- Tree counts indicated on Attachment 3 Landscaping plan satisfy the tree requirements for street frontage requirements along Oak Street and 37h Street.

Attachment 3 Landscaping Plan satisfies this condition. As depicted on the plans and explained in the application narrative: the northern vegetative buffer is proposed to remain in place. This buffer area is approximately 5 feet deep, 650 feet long, and densely vegetated. Given all trees and shrubs are proposed to remain within this buffer, trees and shrubs will be retained at a ratio of at least one tree per 30 feet.
7.6 Open space shall be calculated using FCC 10-23-5-E criteria at $20 \%$ of the net development area and at least $25 \%$ of the $20 \%$ shall include area(s) designated and intended for recreation. As modifications are being requested to the development standards of the underlying zone, the recreation plan submitted at final PUD shall include more than the minimum required recreation area in accordance with FCC 10-23-5-H3 \& 4. Due to the topographical constraints present on the site, exceeding minimum recreation requirements by may be provided through quality of amenities rather than increased square footage beyond minimum requirements, pending Planning Commission review and approval at final PUD.

The applicant proposes (1) a cumulative 5,854 square feet of recreational open space, exceeding the required recreational open space requirement of $10-23-5-\mathrm{E}$ by 20 square feet (or $0.3 \%$ ) and (2) 38,754 square feet of open space, exceeding the recreational open space requirement of $10-$ $23-5$-E by 15,419 square feet (or $66 \%$ ). This is in addition to the private back porches.
7.7 A tentative concept plan with development amenities for the park area supporting both active and passive recreation shall be submitted with final PUD. Prior to issuance of the building permits for the fifth cluster of units the applicant shall submit and have approved a Final PUD approval for the proposed recreation area that is contained within the existing tax lots associated with this project.

- Applicant narrative states recreational open space is provided at $5,854 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. or $25 \%$ of the open space and required by the above conditions, which exceeds required recreational open space by 20 sq . ft .
- Attachment 1, Sheet G5 indicates two open spaces. The Open space directly east of Lot 22 is proposed at $1,072 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. The open space on the western end of 37 th St is proposed at $4,782 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.
- Attachment 3 Landscaping Plans details the landscaping plan for these areas.
- FCC 10-23-5-E specifies that open space must be platted for that purpose and that easements are not acceptable. Clarify how these areas are to reserved for open space.

Attachment 1 Sheet G5 Tentative Subdivision Plat depicts the proposed recreational open space areas "Recreational Open Space" and other open space/common area "Tract A." If the City would like these areas labeled in a specific way on the final plat, please specify. The language on the final plat will match the corresponding deed restrictions/CCR stipulations.

- Additional details are required regarding the open space improvements and amenities. FCC 10-23-5-E-1 requires recreational open space to be developed to its intended use. Additional details are required for the walking path to demonstrate that this is built to suitable path standards.
- Applicant narrative states that "the HOA could choose to install additional amenities in these spaces."
- Condition 7.7 requires a tentative concept plan and FCC 10-23-5 requires high-quality and durable amenities and incorporation of ADA accessibility features.
- Additional details are required to meet these requirements

Attachment 3 Landscaping Plan, Sheet L1, Vegetation Detail Open Space A and Vegetation Detail Open Space B depict our proposal for the recreational open space areas.

As described in our application narrative: (1) these recreational (and other) open space areas may be used for dog walking, walking, yoga, and (2) the future HOA may choose to install additional amenities in these spaces, meeting their specific needs.

This proposal is not made to avoid developing recreational amenities.
This proposal is made based on the developers' experience of residential demand. Lawns are more popular than individual-specific amenities, which go unused and unmaintained.

We find our proposal superior to a proposal to, for example, install a gazebo, playground equipment, barbeque pit. Those amenities (a) require maintenance, (b) complicate landscaping maintenance, (c) tend to go un-used in development which provide individual covered porches and back yards.

If the Planning Commission finds our proposal insufficient, we ask that the Planning Commission stipulate which amenity be developed in the recreational open space areas.

We ask that staff note:

1) We originally proposed less than the required $5 \%$ recreational space because (1) there are topographic constraints on subject property, (2) we exceed the $20 \%$ overall open space requirement by a significant amount (over $50 \%$ !).
2) We have now altered our proposal to develop the required $5 \%$ recreational space - and still (1) there are topographic constraints on subject property, (2) we exceed the $20 \%$ overall open space requirement by a significant amount (over $50 \%$ !).

In summary, in addition to items listed above and not below the remaining items missing so that this application may be deemed complete are:

- Evidence that each lot has the minimum required 5-foot setback.
- 7.1 requires a diversity of building materials, colors, window designs, garage door designs, etc., This condition is not met. Only a single design was submitted and not evidence of diversity in colors or design are stated in the narrative.
- The design as proposed does not meet Old Town and Mainstreet architectural design standards.
- Additional details of the trees to be retained in the northern native vegetation buffer are required for staff to determine if condition 7.3 is met.
- Additional details for the proposed recreational open space are required to meet Conditions 7.6 and 7.7 as well as FCC 10-23-5 requirements.


## These items are addressed above in detail.

Thank you.

## ATTACHMENT 5 Architectural Plans for Triplexes






## Exhibit I

## ATTACHMENT 6 Paint Colors Plan



- Sherwin Williams, Benjamin Moore, or Rhodda paint is proposed.
- The proposed palette is green, brown, and tan, consistent with the Pacific Northwest palette.
- Base and trim colors proposed to generally alternate as depicted above.
- Garage doors proposed to be white.

- Similar palette to Oak Commons Planned Unit Development (depicted above)

Myrtle Glen Planned Unit Development
Final PUD Application - Addendum

## Exhibit J

## ATTACHMENT 7

Depiction of Proposed Design vs Old Town \& Mainstreet Architectural Standards

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6 Downtown Architectural Design

The Architectural Design criteria are designed to address and implement the Florence Downtown Architectural Guidelines. Where applicable, the following criteria consider the historical character of Oregon's traditional Northwest architecture. The type of building to which this code may apply may differ by district. The following requirements are intended to create and maintain a built environment that is conducive to walking; reduces dependency on the automobile for short trips; provides natural surveillance of public spaces; creates a human-scale design, e.g., with buildings placed close to streets or ther public ways and large building walls divided into smaller planes with detaling; and maintains the historic integrity of the communit.
Sevelopment in the Old Town and Mainstreet districts shall comply with the standards in this section. The City Planning Official, the City Planning Official's designee, or the Planning Commission may require
any of the following conditions in order to establish a minimum level of design quality and compatibility between buildings. The Planning Commission may approve adjustments or variances to the standards as part of a site Design Review approval, pursuant with FCC 10-5 and 10-6, respectively.

The applicant's proposed design meets the standards of $0-6-6$, as described below, and therefore (a) is considere by Florence City Code to incorporate proper building massing, siting, and materials and (b) meets all of the conditions the Planning Commission may require in order to establish a minimum level of design quality and compatibility between buildings.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-2 Building Style

A. Context: Each building or addition shall be designed within the context of its larger surroundings and environment in terms of overall street massing, scale and configuration.
B. Historic Style Compatibility: New and existing building design shall be consistent with the regional and cal historical traditions. Where historic ornament and detail is not feasible, historic compatibility shall mple vertical massing of historical buildings. Some examples of architectural styles currently or historically present in the Florence area are: Queen Anne, Shingle Style, Second Empire, Victorian,
Italianate, Tudor Style, Craftsman Bungalow, American Foursquare, and Vernacular

1. Existing buildings: Maintain and restore significant historic details.
2. New Buildings: Design shall be compatible with adjacent historic buildings.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-3 Building Facades

A. Horizontal Design Elements: Multi-story commercial storefront buildings shall have a distinctive horizontal base; second floor; and eave, cornice and/or parapet line; creating visual interest and relief. Horizontal articulations shall be made with features such as awnings, overhanging eaves, symmetrical gable roofs, material changes, or applied facia detail. New buildings and exterior remodels shall generally follow the prominent horizontal ines existing on adjacent buildings at similar levels along the treet frontage. Examples of such horizontal lines include but are not limited to: the base below a serie storefront windows; an existing awning or canopy line, or belt course between building stories; and/ building design standards, a new building may establish new horizontal lines.

CC 10-6-6 states requirements "intended to create and maintain a built environment that is conducive to walking; reduces dependency on the automobile for short trips; provides natural surveillance of with buildings placed close to streets or other public ways."
Additional details and evidence to support th satements in FCC 10-6-6 are required xamples of items that are not included or met clude, but are not limited to, a built environment conducive to walking; reduces dependency on the automobile for short trips (the most prominent feature of this PUD is the share parking and garages that are street facing) natural surveillance of th built environment (there are no front porches are on the second floor).

ECC 10-6-6-2-B Historical Style Compatibility list Craftsman Bungalow' as a style example. The pplicant narrative states the proposed chitectural style is Craftsman.
 e proposed house design meets a craftsman rovided in FCC 10-2 or Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language, Unabridged which is considered a standard eference according to FCC 10-2-13

This purpose statement explains the purpose of the FCC 10-6-6 Downtown Architectural Design; it is not a standard in-of-itself. It states: "the following requirements [of FCC 10-6-6] are intended to create and maintain a built environment that is conducive to walking; reduces dependency on the automobile for short trips; provides natural surveillance of pub spaces; creates a human-scale dild e.g., with and large building walls divided into smaller planes with detailing; and maintains the historic integrity of the community."
The applicant's burden is to meet the preceding design standards; meeting those standard demonstrates the purpose of the section has been met.

The applicant's proposed architectural style is Craftsman (not Craftsman Bungalow). A. Context requires "Each building or addition shall be designed within the context of its larger street massing scale and configuration." B. Historic Style Compatibility requires "New existing building design shall be consistent with the regional and local historical traditions. Where historic ornament and detail is not feasible [...] 2 New Buildings: Design shall be compatible with adjacent historic buildings."
As described in he $8 / 2 \mathrm{ap}$ alic proposed design is similar to neighboring single to two story, lap siding $5 \cdot 12$ roof pitches." Moreover, the proposed design is popular - the look is prevalent and popular in Florence

The roof-lines / horizontal lines of the proposed structure enerally follow the prominent horizontal lines existing o adjacent structures (majority single family dwellings). The first-level/garage-level roof lines follow the roof lines of djacent 1-story structures and the second level roof line fllow the roof lines of adjacent 2 -story structures.

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-3 Building Facades
B. Vertical Design Elements: Commercial storefront building faces shall have distinctive vertical lines of emphasis spaced at relatively even intervals. Vertical articulations may be made by material changes, variations in roof heights, applied facia, columns, bay windows, etc. The maximum spacing of vertical 40 feet

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-3 Building Facades

C. Articulation and Detailing: All building elevations that orient to a street or civic space must have breaks in the wall plane (articulation) of not less than one break for every 30 feet of building length or width, as applicable, as follows:

1. Plans shall incorporate design features such as varying rooflines, offsets, balconies, projections (e.g., overhangs, porches, or similar features), recessed or covered entrances, window reveals, or simila elements that break up otherwise long, uninterrupted elevations. Such elements shall occur at a minimum interval of $30-40$ feet. In addition, each floor shall contain at least two elements meeting the lowing criteria:
(e.g., porch, courtyard, entrance balcony, or similar feature) that has a minimum depth of 4 b. Extension (e.g., floor area, porch, entrance, balcony, overhang, or similar feature) that projects a minimum of 2 feet and runs horizontally for a minimum length of 4 feet; and/or
c. Offsets or breaks in roof elevation of 2 feet or greater in height.
d. A "break," for the purposes of this subsection, is a change in wall plane of not less than 24 inches in depth. Breaks may include, but are not limited to, an offset, recess, window reveal, pilaster, frieze, n, building base balcony, permanent awning or canopy, marquee, or similar architectural feature.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-3 Building Facades

C. Articulation and Detailing
2. The Planning Commission, through Design Review, may approve detailing that does not meet the 24
inch break-in-wall-plan standard where it finds that proposed detailing is more consistent with the
architecture of historically significant or historically-contributing buildings existing in the vicinity.
3. Changes in paint color and features that are not designed as permanent architectura elements,
as display cabinets, window boxes, retractable and similar mounted awnings or canopies, and other similar features, do not meet the 24 -inch break-in-wall-plane standard.
4. Building elevations that do not orient to a street or civic space need not comply with the 24 - inch break-in-wall-plan standard, but should complement the overall building design

The proposed spacing of street-facing verticle articulation xceed this standard (variation in roof height spaced every 4 to 20 feet)

The proposed spacing of street-facing building elevations exceed this standard (variation in roof height spaced every 14 to 20 feet).
Bottom Floor:

1) Offsets: in ro
2) Offsets: in roof elevation of 2 feet or greater in height garage roofs)
$22-23^{\prime}$ foot deep, 14' wide garage extension very $14-20^{\prime}$
7.75 feet (center side.
3) Extension: garage roof overhang which projects $2^{\prime}$ and runs horizontally for 14 :
4) Extension: approximately 13 ' wide terraces over two of he four garages on each structure (garages on the ends of each structure).

Top Floor:

1) Extension: dormer windows (with roofs) every $\sim 10^{\prime}$ (four per structure)
2) Extension: top roof overhang which projects $2^{\prime}$ and runs horizontally for $75^{\prime}$.

The proposed design exceeds this design elements standard.

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permitted Visible Building Materials
Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permitted Visible Building Materials
Building materials which have the same or better performance may be substituted for the materials Building materials which have the same or better performance may be substit
below provided that they have the same appearance as the listed materials. A. Exterior Building Walls:

1. Lap siding, board and batten siding, shingles and shakes. Metal siding and vinyl siding shall not be
permitted.
2. Brick or stone masonry with a minimum $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ deep solid veneer material.
3. Cement-based stucco
4. Secondary materials: Any of the materials listed above as permitted may also be used as secondary materials or accents. In addition, the materials listed above are allowed as secondary materials, trims, or accents (e.g., whashing, wainscoting, awnings, canopies, ornamentation) when non-reflective and compatible with the overall building design, subject to approval. Secondary materials may be used on up
to $30 \%$ of the facade.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permitted Visible Building Materials

B. Roofs, Awnings, Gutters, and Visible Roofing Components:

1. Composition shingles, concrete, slate or cedar shingles, or concrete or clay tiles. Red composition shingle similar to the Kyle Building are encouraged.
2. Gutters and downspouts: copper, terne metal or coated metal.
3. Single or multi-ply roofing, where visibly concealed.
4. Glass, steel, wood or canvas fabric awnings.
5. Skylights: metal and wood framed glass and translucent polymer.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permitted Visible Building Materials <br> C. Chimney Enclosures: Brick, cement-based stucco, stone masonry or wood shingles.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permitted Visible Building Materials

D. Windows, Entrances, and Accessories:

1. Wood, vinyl or pre-finished metal frames and sashes.
2. Solid wood or fiberglass shutters.
3. The use of decorative detailing and ornamentation around windows (e.g., corbels, medallions, pediments, or similar features) is encouraged

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permitted Visible Building Materials

 E. Trellises, Decks, Stairs, Stoops, Porches, and Balconies1. Architectural concrete, brick and stone masonry, solid wood or fiberglass columns, posts, piers and
arches.
2. Wood, brick, concrete and stone masonry decks, stoops, stairs, porches, and balconies.
3. Solid wood, painted welded steel or iron trellises.
4. Solid wood, painted welded steel or iron trellises.
5. Railings, balustrades, and related components shall be solid wood, painted welded steel or iron.

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permitted Visible Building Materials
F. Landscape/Retaining Walls and Fences: Shall be subject to the FCC 10-34 and the following requirements:
requir
$[. .$.

1. Lap siding (specifically Hardiplank lap siding) is proposed, which meets this exterior building wall standard.
2. N/A

Composition shingles are proposed, meeting this roofing standard
Galvanized steel with an acrillic coating (inside and out) with a rectancular downspout is proposed, meeting this gutter standard.
4. Wood ply roofing layer proposed to be concealed by composition shingles.
5. N/A
6. N/A

N/A
. Vinyl windows, specifically Migard or equal, fixed pictur and single-hung windows are proposed, meeting this window standard. See window schedule Attachment 2 Sheet s2.
3. N/A

1. Solid wood posts are proposed, meeting this standard. See
beam schedule Attachment 2 Sheet S2 Roof Plan.
2. Wood decks are proposed, meeting this standarc.
3. N/A
4. N/A

N/A

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-4 Permitted Visible Building Materials
G. Building and Site Material Colors: Color finishes on all building exteriors shall be approved by the city and be of a muted coastal Pacific Northwest palette. Reflective, luminescent, sparkling, primary, and "day-glow" colors and finishes are prohibited. The Planning Commission/Planning Commission or ther designee may approve adjustments to the standards as part of a site Design Review approval.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-5 Material Applications and Configuration

A. Building Walls:

1. For each building, there shall be one single, clearly dominant exterior wall material and finish.
2. Building walls of more than one materials shali change along horizontal lines only, with a maximum of
three materials permitted per façade.
3. Heavier materials, such as stone, shall only be used below lighter materials, such as siding.
4. Siding and shingles shall have a maximum $6^{\prime \prime}$ to the weather.
shall run the full height of each facade, flush, or protrude beyond the surrounding wall surface.
5. Board and batten siding: battens shall be spaced a maximum of 8 " on center.

## Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-5 Material Applications and Configuration

B. Roofs, Awnings, Gutters and Rooofing Accessories

Visibly sloped roofs shall pitch a minimum of $5: 12$ to a maximum $12: 12$ with symmetrical gable or hip
configuration.
2. Eaves shall be continuous except at sheds and dormers.
3. Shed roofs shall attach to the main building wall or roof ridge with minimum 3:1 slope.
4. Flat roofs shall be concealed by cornices or parapets.
5. Gutters shall be round or ogee profile. Leaders shall be round or squa
6. All roof-mounted components such as mechanical equipment shall not be visible from street- leve ublic rights-of-way.
overhang exterior wall planes at least 12 " and shall be visibly supported by exposed rafter ends or other compatible architectural detailing.

## tile 10: Chater 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-5 Matid

## Towers:

herwin Williams, Benjamin Moore, or Rhodda paint is proposed. The proposed palete is green, brown, and tan, onsistent with the Pacific Northwest palette.

1. Base paint color of each building proposed to be either tan, green or brown; trim proposed tan, green, or brown brown with tan trim, green with brown trim, and so on). Garage doors proposed to be white.
2. N/A
3. N/A
4. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$
5 Shin
5. Shingles proposed to have less than $6^{\prime \prime}$ of each shingle exposed (aka "to the weather")
. A $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ Douglas Fir Larch post is proposed to run the full height of each corner of each structure, meeting this
tandar
6. Roof pitches proposed $5: 12$ to $6: 12$, meeting this
standard. (Dormers are 4:12.) See Attachment 2 Sheet S6.
. All proposed eaves are continuous except at dormers.
7. N/A
8. Gutters are proposed to be ogee profile; leaders proposed to be square.
9. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$
10. Sloped roof eaves proposed to overhang $1.5^{\prime}-\mathbf{-}^{\prime}$ and are supported by visible wood beams. See Attachment 2 Shee s6.

N/A

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-5 Material Applications and Configurations
D. Visible Windows, Glazing, and Entrances:

1. Windows shall be square and/or vertical rectangular shape with straight, bow, or arch tops.
2. $10 \%$ of total windows maximum on the public façade may be circular, hexagonal, octagonal or other window configurations.
3. Bay windows shall have visible bracket support.
4. Overhead doors shall not face the building's primary street façade or a major public right-of- way
5. Door and window shutters shall be sized to cover the entire window.
6. No single lite or glass panel visible from the street shall be greater than 24 square feet in area except in storefront glazing systems.
7. Multiple vertical windows may be grouped in the same horizontal opening provided they are separated by $4^{\prime \prime}$ minimum width vertical trim.
8. Windows and doors in exterior walls shall be surrounded with $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ minimum width trim applied flush or projecting beyond the finished wall surface.
and the exterior glass surface. Windows shall have

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-5 Material Applications and Configurations
E. Visible Decks and Balconies: All balconies and decks attached to building faces, whether cantilevered or supported below or above, shall be visibly supported by vertical and horizontal elements such as brackets, columns, or beams. Exterior posts and columns, solid or encased, shall be minimum $51 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in cross-section.

Title 10 . Chater 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-5 Material Applications and Configuration
F. Visible Landscape/Retaining Walls and Fences:
F. Vis
[...]

Title 10: Chapter 6 Design Review: 10-6-6-5 Material Applications and Configurations
. Mechanical Equipment:
[...]

1. Windows are proposed to be rectangular with straight
tops and rectangular with arch tops (dormers), meeting this standard
2. N/A
3. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ 4. The proposed garage doors are technically front-facing
overhead doors. However, Florence City Code prefers streetacing garages, and garage doors are generally overhead permitted to face the primary street. Also, as described above, the garages include several design features desired by $0-6-6$, including: windows, roof elevation breaks, terraces. 5. N/A
4. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$
5. N/A
6. N/A

All trim (window and door) proposed to be a minimum $3^{\prime \prime}$ width.
0. No mullions are proposed. Picture and single-hung schedule

- FCC 10-6-6-5-D-4: Overhead doors shall not face the building's façade or a major public ROW. - Applicant narrative argues that "Florence $C$ 10-10-7-8-3 states the intent of attached sin dwellings is to ensure minimal visual impact from vehicular use and storage areas. Florence City Code related to attached single unit dwellings specifically include intent to minimize visual impacts of vehicular storage such as garages and does not encourage street facing garages.

Let us re-phrase: The proposed garage doors are technically front-facing overhead doors. However, it is typical for residential garage doors to face the public street (as opposed to a back yard or alley). Also, as described above, the garages include severa design features desired by $10-6-6$, which mitigat windows, roof elevation breaks, terraces.

Balconies and decks are attached to the rear of the building. Regardless, they are supported by verticle and horizontal wooden beams. See beam schedule on Attachment 2 Sheet wood
S5.

N/A

N/A

## CITY OF FLORENCE PLANNING COMMISSION

## RESOLUTION PC 2221 PUD 01, PC 2223 SUB 02, \& SR 2248 SIR 13

A REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY PUD AND TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION PLAN INCLUDING PHASE 1 SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR MYRTLE GLENN A DEVELOPMENT CONSISTING OF 25 SINGLE FAMILY ATTACHED LOTS AND PLATTED $37^{\text {TH }}$ ST.

WHEREAS, application was made by William Johnson Construction, Inc on behalf David J. Bielenberg, for approval of a Preliminary PUD with variances from FCC 10-10 and tentative subdivision plan with modifications from FCC 10-36 as required by FCC 10-1-1-4, FCC 10-1-1-6-3, and FCC 10-6; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission met in a duly-advertised public hearing on June 13, 2023, as outlined in Florence City Code 10-1-1-6-3, to consider the application, evidence in the record, and testimony received. The hearing was closed and the written record remained open for 7 days with final deliberation were held on June 22, 2023; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of Florence, per FCC 10-1-1-4, FCC 10-1-1-63, and FCC 11-7, finds, based on the Findings of Fact, application, staff recommendation, evidence, and testimony presented to them, that the application meets the applicable criteria through compliance with certain Conditions of Approval.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission of the City of Florence finds, based on the Findings of Fact and the evidence in record that:

The request for a Preliminary PUD, a tentative subdivision plan, and phase I site investigation report are approved with conditions of approval.

## Conditions of Approval:

The application, as presented, meets or can meet applicable City codes and requirements, provided that the following conditions of approval are met.

Approval shall be shown on conditions of approval as supported by the following record:

| "A" | Findings of Fact |
| :--- | :--- |
| "B" | Narrative \& Application |
| "B1" | Project Overview and Addendum |
| "C" | Tentative Plan |
| "C1" | Tentative Plan Revised |


| " D " | Vicinity Maps |
| :--- | :--- |
| " E " | Wetland Delineation |
| " F " | Deed |
| " G " | Phase 1 Site Investigation Report |
| " H 1 " | Stormwater Management Plan Revised |
| "I" | Template Structural Plan |
| "J" | Template CCRs |
| " $\mathrm{K} "$ | Preliminary Open Space Plan |
| "L" | Referral Comments - Fire Chief |
| " M " | Referral Comments - Public Works Director |
| " N " | Email Clarification \& Comments on Sheet 2 |
| "O" | Public Testimony - Grove |
| "P" | Concept Plan 1G |

Findings of Fact attached as Exhibit " A " are incorporated by reference and adopted in support of this decision.

1. Any modifications to the approved plans or changes of use, except those changes relating to Building Codes, will require approval by the Community Development Director or Planning Commission/Design Review Board.
2. Regardless of the content of material presented for this Planning Commission, including application text and exhibits, staff reports, testimony and/or discussions, the applicant agrees to comply with all regulations and requirements of the Florence City Code which are current on this date, EXCEPT where variance or deviation from such regulations and requirements has been specifically approved by formal Planning Commission action as documented by the records of this decision and/or the associated Conditions of Approval. The applicant shall submit to the Community Development Department a signed "Agreement of Acceptance" of all conditions of approval.
3. Upon encountering any cultural or historic resources during construction, the applicant shall immediately contact the State Historic Preservation Office and the Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw Indians. Construction shall cease immediately and shall not continue until permitted by either a SHPO or CTCLUSI representative.

## FCC 10-3:

4.1 The shared/common parking area shall meet grading requirements so as not to drain storm water over public ROWs. Parking lot surfacing shall not encroach upon public ROWs. This shall be in accordance with FCC 10-3-8-C.

This shall be included on a detailed parking lot plan prior to or in conjunction with first building permits and subject to Public Works and Community Development Department approval.
4.2 Parking spaces shall be screened with evergreen shrubs that reach a minimum 36 inches in height above parking lot grade level at maturity so that headlights do not shine onto adjacent residential uses and zones in accordance with FCC $10-3-8-\mathrm{D}$ and FCC 10-34-3-7. Stormwater facility plantings in the $37^{\text {th }}$ St ROW may meet this screening requirement or this requirement may be met through fencing installed between parking spaces and sidewalk/PUE. The screening plan shall be included in the final landscaping/stormwater facility planting with a proposed schedule of planting at final PUD.
4.3 The shared/common parking area shall be in compliance with FCC 10-3-8-E. A curb or wheel stop of not less than 6 inches shall be installed abutting streets and interior lot lines to prevent encroachment onto adjacent private property, public walkways, sidewalks, or minimum landscaped area required in accordance with FCC 10-3-8-E2.
4.4 A complete parking lot plan in accordance with FCC 10-3-8 K and FCC 10-3-8-L shall be submitted prior to or in conjunction with final PUD shall include the following items not previously submitted:

- Curb cut dimensions
- Dimensions, continuity, and substance of screening
- Grading, drainage, surfacing, and sub grading details
- Specifications for signs, bumper guards, and curbs
- Each Space shall have double line striping with two feet wide on center
- The width of any striping line in an approved parking area shall be a minimum 4" wide
4.5 In accordance with FCC 10-37-4 the City shall have a 30-day review period starting the day following the final Certificate of Occupancy to evaluate and request adjustments to illumination levels based on staff inspections and public comments. The application shall be permitted to have decreased illumination levels onsite of 1-foot candles versus 2 required in FCC 10-37-4-B.


## FCC 10-7:

5.1 The area has Yaquina soils which are known for high ground water. Therefore, the applicant shall record a Covenant of Release which outlines the hazard, restrictions and/or conditions that apply to the property as outlined in subsection (D) of FCC 10-7-7, Review and Use of Site Investigation Report, and
shall state "The applicant recognizes and accepts that this approval is strictly limited to a determination that the project as described and conditioned herein meets the land use provisions and development standards of the City Code and Comprehensive Plan current as of this date. This approval makes no judgement or guarantee as to the functional or structural adequacy, suitability for purpose, safety, maintainability, or useful service life of the project." This shall be recorded prior to submittal of any building permit applications or prior to final Subdivision Plat.

## FCC 10-10:

6.1 Based on FCC 10-2-13 definitions of front lot line, the front lot line for lots 23, 24 , and 25 is considered the lot line adjacent to Oak St. Therefore, the maximum fence height along Oak St shall be 4 feet in height in accordance with FCC 10-34-5.
6.2 The applicant shall provide a minimum 5-foot rear yard setback for each individual lot in compliance with FCC 10-10-4-D.
6.3 The garage and driveway parking stalls shall be maintained as vehicular parking for the use of the single-family attached units and not be converted to another use.
6.4 The applicant shall either provide long term bike parking that meets criteria in accordance with FCC 10-3-10-C or the applicant shall provide other long term bicycle parking onsite in accordance with FCC 10-3-10, this required long-trem bicycle parking may either be located on individual sites or in common space. Long term bike parking will be verified prior to Cerificate of Occupancy of each unit if proivided on the individual lots, or with final PUD if provided in common or open space.
6.5 Long term bike parking may count towards recreation space requirements of Conditions 7.6 if provided in common or open space on the project site all criteria of FCC 10-3-10 shall be met including, but not limited to location and design, visibility and security, and lighting. If proposed, the long-term parking plan shall be submitted with to final PUD.

## FCC 10-23:

7.1. FCC $10-23-5-H-1$ states high quality building design using Old Town and Mainstreet Architectural Standards or better. Different building facades and exterior design shall be used for each building grouping that meet the intent of FCC 10-6-6. This is to include but is not limited to a diversity of building materials and colors, window designs, garage door designs, roof eaves, light
fixtures, driveway paving design/colors, and similar details etc. This shall be reviewed at final PUD.
7.2 The applicant shall submit a final grading plan illustrating all cuts and fills and final 1 ft . contours and grades to the edges of the development on all sides prior to final PUD.
7.3 With final PUD application a landscape and vegetation retention plan shall be submitted for the entire development. The buffer to the north shall include trees and shrubs planted or retained at a ratio of at least one tree per 30 ft . The Oak St. frontage and the $37^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. frontage adjacent to unit 25 shall include landscaping consisting of at least one tree for every 30 feet of frontage.
7.4 The corrections for utilities and accesses, as stated by SVFR Chief and Public Works, shall be addressed and provided in a revised utility and access plans submitted for review and approval with Final PUD submittal
7.5 The final stormwater management plan shall be submitted for review and approval with Final PUD submittal. It shall include treatment and conveyance systems that meet city design typicals and it shall include consideration of capacity of existing system and an increase in detention pipe size as needed.
7.6 Open space shall be calculated using FCC 10-23-5-E criteria at $20 \%$ of the net development area and at least $25 \%$ of the $20 \%$ shall include area(s) designated and intended for recreation. As modifications are being requested to the development standards of the underlying zone, the recreation plan submitted at final PUD shall include more than the minimum required recreation area in accordance with FCC $10-23-5-\mathrm{H} 3 \& 4$. Due to the topographical constraints present on the site, exceeding minimum recreation requirements by may be provided through quality of amenities rather than increased square footage beyond minimum requirements, pending Planning Commission review and approval at final PUD.
7.7 A tentative concept plan with development amenities for the park area supporting both active and passive recreation shall be submitted with final PUD. Prior to issuance of the building permits for the fifth cluster of units the applicant shall submit and have approved a Final PUD approval for the proposed recreation area that is contained within the existing tax lots associated with this project.
7.8 The applicant shall submit either an extension request or a Final PUD plan for the townhome development for review and approval within one year of Planning Commission approval of the Preliminary Plan (June 22, 2024). There are many land use regulation changes under consideration that if adopted may
affect a request for extension.

## FCC 10-35:

8.1 A traffic Impact Study (TIS) in accordance with FCC 10-35-2-5 and FCC 10-1-1-$4-\mathrm{E}$ is required based on the criteria that the proposed development will have 25 single family dwelling units and that adjacent neighborhoods or other areas may be adversely affected by the proposed development. A TIS shall be completed and submitted to the City for review and approval prior to, or in conjunction with final plat.
8.2 The maximum driveway width allowable under FCC 10-35-2-12-B for driveways serving single-family residences shall not be more than 24 feet shall be a minimum of 18 feet where the driveway provides two-way traffic. Therefore, the two driveway access points proposed on $37^{\text {th }}$ St shall be a maximum of 24 feet in width and a minimum of 18 feet.
8.3 The east Myrtle Loop stub shall be built to local street standards.
8.4 The applicant shall provide examples of signs to be installed at any and all fire access lanes or turnarounds in accordance with FCC 10-35-12-D section prior to issuance of building permits and all signs shall be installed and approved by public works prior to issuance of first Certificate of Occupany. This is intended for fire apperatus and street signage in public ROWs. Condition 4.4 addressed parking signage on private property.
8.5 Certificate of Occupancy for individual units shall not be issued until sidewalks are constructed on the north side of $37^{\text {th }}$ St. and financially secured on the south side of $37^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$.
8.6 Vision clearance at 37th and Oak Street shall be confirmed during building inspection or by staff site visit prior to final approval to ensure compliance with minimum vision clearance.
8.7 To meet the vision clearance areas criteria as identified in FCC 10-35-2-14, the HOA shall perpetually maintain landscaping so that vegetation does not grow to obstruct vision clearance areas at internal intersections or intersections with public streets in accordance with FCC 10-35-2-14. This shall be included in the CCRs.

## FCC 10-36:

9.1 All landscaping and stormwater facilities adjacent to sidewalks and pedestrian walkways shall be maintained by the HOA to prevent encroachment onto the sidewalks and bicycle areas and ground cover such as rocks or mulch shall be
secured to prevent pedestrian hazards in consistency with the 2012 TSP.
9.2 Stormwater facilities in the ROW and PUEs shall be completed and approved by the Public Works Department or financially secured prior to issuance of any Certificates of Occupancies. Any damage caused by unmanaged stormwater prior to completion of the stormwater facilities will be the responsibility of the applicant or their representatives.
9.3 The proposed emergency vehicle turnaround easement meets current fire codes. However, this shall be a temporary solution and permanent emergency vehicle access shall be developed in conjunction with any development plans on the lot to the south at the expense of the developer of Myrtle Glenn or as agreed upon in writing and submitted to the City by both the Myrtle Glen developer and the developer of the southern property.
9.4 Barricades used for the East Myrtle Loop Street and $37^{\text {th }}$ Street stubs shall be Type III barricades in accordance with FCC 10-36-2-9.
9.6 Maintenance of the proposed sidewalks shall be the continuing obligation of the adjacent property owner, in the case of this subdivision the responsible party for maintaining these facilities shall be the HOA. In addition to maintaining the sidewalks adjacent to the development, the stormwater facilities, on-site, in the 37th St, or the PUEs shall be maintained by the Myrtle Glenn PUD and shall be stipulated in the CCRs.
9.7 In accordance with this FCC 10-36-2-21 the cost of signs required for new development shall be the responsibility of the developer and shall be installed as part of the street system development and shall be installed by developers per City of Florence Standards and Specifications. This shall apply to signs on public property and ROWS. Signage on private property shall be addressed during parking lot review under Condition 4.4.
9.8 Additional information for mailbox type and location shall be submitted and approved prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy with associated units.
9.9 The building height shall be confirmed at time of building permit plan review and all shall be in compliance with all state and City fire and life safety codes.
9.10 The project involves disturbance of more than 1 acre of land. The applicant shall obtain an NPDES permit from DEQ prior to site disturbance.
9.11 All new utility lines shall be undergrounded, and above ground equipment shall not obstruct vision clearance areas for vehicular traffic.
9.12 All public improvements shall be warranted against defects in materials and
workmanship for a period of one year following acceptance of the improvements by the City. Once accepted, a minimum one (1) year warranty agreement on materials and workmanship shall be initiated between the City of Florence and the developer. A warranty bond or other financial security acceptable to the City in the amount of 12 percent of the original public improvement construction cost shall be maintained throughout the warranty period. The terms of the warranty and the warranty itself shall be provided to the Florence Planning Director prior to final plat approval.

## FCC 10-37:

10.1 All lighting proposed on site to include the exterior of the individual units shall be designed as full cut-off fixtures or have shielding method to direct light downwards and do not glare onto adjacent properties or skyward in accordance with FCC 10-37-4. The required lighting plans for individual dwelling units shall be submitted to the planning department for review prior to issuance of building permit.
10.2 The applicant shall provide design, height, and photometric specification of the proposed street lights for review and approval by the Florence Planning Department and Public Work Department prior to any work being commenced and either prior to or in conjunction with final plat.

## FCC 11-3:

11.1 The final plat shall contain an Owner's Declaration recital, complete with the name and address of the property owner in accordance with FCC 11-3-2-C3 and the platting standards of ORS 92 for subdivisions.
11.2 The final plat prepared and submitted for final plat approval shall contain the elevations of all points used to determine contours with the required intervals of $1^{\prime} 0 \%$ to $5^{\prime} ; 2^{\prime} 5 \%$ to $10 \%$; and $5^{\prime}$ over $10 \%$
11.3 All final engineering details and plans are subject to review, revision and approval by the Florence Community Department, Public Works Director and or City Engineer. The applicant shall submit all required sewage disposal, flood control, and drainage facility plans prior to final plat.
11.4 A final grading plan in required prior to final plat. The final grading plan is subject to discretionary approval by Public Works and/or Engineering.
11.5 The final plat that is prepared shall contain a legal description in accordance with ORS 92
11.6 The applicant will be expected to proceed with final survey and to make preparations for final subdivision approval within the timeframes outlined in Title 11 Chapter 3-6 \& Chapter 4-4 \& 4-6 unless otherwise provided for through approved and allowed extensions from the Planning Director. This tentative plan shall expire on June 22, 2025 unless an extension request is received and approved

Informationals:

1. A final parking lot plan should include minimum parking stall design and minimum dimensions in accordance with FCC 10-3-9
2. Building height will be reviewed during building plan review to verify final building height as measured from average grade to top of roof peak.
3. The applicant is proposing a $5 / 12$ roof pitch, exceeding minimum allowed $3 / 12$. The roof pitch will be verified at time of building plan review.
4. Any and all signs proposed for this development should apply for a sign permit and be in compliance with FCC 4-7
5. With further development to the south a balanced variety of densities and dwelling types are expected and required if a PUD development is sought.
6. The subdivision name and street names will be forwarded to Emergency Services for review and input prior to Final Plat approval.
7. The applicant's stormwater plan states the soil is Yaquina with a water table typically between 2 feet below surface and 2 feet above the ground surface. approximate location of areas subject to inundation or storm water overflow, all areas covered by water, and the location, width and direction of flow of all watercourses, was not included on the applicant's tentative subdivision plan. If such areas exist on the site, the final plat should include these areas

ADOPTED BY THE FLORENCE PLANNING COMMISSION/DESIGN REVIEW BOARD the $22^{\text {nd }}$ day of June, 2023.





| COORDINATE GEOMETRY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {ı. }}$. | descripton | Easting | мооттим |
| priA | CENTERLINE 37TH STREET AT STATION $18+00.00$ | 47785.54 | 937161.18 |
| pr-B |  | 427330.00 | 93715985 |
| pr.c |  | 4276024 | ${ }_{97158882}$ |
| pT-D | centrbuline 374 strber tat station | 4270959 | ${ }_{93715482}$ |
| Pr-E | CENTERLINE EAST MYRTLE LOOP AT STATION $2+00.00$ | ${ }^{427601.08}$ | ${ }^{97705883}$ |


| SURVEY CONTROL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {I. }}$. | Descriptow | enting | мовтним | elevation |
| CPM | MMGNALL WWSSHER | 42786674 | 937138.59 | ${ }^{17.74}$ |
| Cph2 | MAGNall wwasher | 4272123 | 93715278 | ${ }^{7} .41$ |






F-201 - URBAN STREET SECTION


F-206-SIDEWALK ACCESS RAMP


F-203-CURB AND GUTTER


F-208A-DRIVEWAY AND ALLEY APPROACHES FOR SETBACK OR BYPASS SIDEWALK


F-205-SIDEWALK


F-216A - STREET BARRICADE


F-301-UTLITY TRENCH


F-217A- SIGN INSTALLATION DETALSS


F-306-AREA DRAINAGE bASIN DETAIL


F-217B-SIGN DETAIL


F-304-PRECAST CURB INLET







F-308 - SEWER SERVICE DETAII


F-313-MANHOLE COVER AND FRAME DETALLS


F-310-CLEANOUT


F-315-MANHOLE


F-312 - MANHOLE ADJUSTMENT DETALS


F-316-MANHOLE CHANNEL DETALS


F-321-TRACER WIRE


F-403-VALVE BOX \& OPERATOR EXTENSION ASSEMBLY


F-401-THRUST BLOCKING


F-404-TYPICAL MAIN DEAD-END BLOWOFF ASSEMBLY


F-402-HYDRANT INSTALLATION


F-408A-TYPICAL WATER SERVICE CONNECTION WITHOUT METER


















37TH STREET
NW QUADRANT 37TH STREET AND OAK STREET





[^0]:    G. Open Space: A minimum of $20 \%$ of the net development area shall be open space and must be platted for that purpose. (Easements are not acceptable). At least $25 \%$ of the $20 \%$ shall include an area designated and intended for recreation use and enjoyment. The required recreation area may be provided as:

    - Public dedication for use by public in general, and/or
    - Property owned by the Home Owners Association (or other legal entity) for use by residents of the development.

    The recreational area is required to be developed to satisfy one or more recreational needs identified in the latest Florence Parks and Recreation Master Plan. If the Master Plan or Comprehensive Plan shows a need for public recreation area in the location of the PUD (such as a trail connection or neighborhood park), the recreation area shall be dedicated to the public. If the recreation area is not meeting a need for public recreation, the city may choose not to accept dedication of the recreation area. (Ord. No. 2, Series 2011)

    1. Open space will be suitably improved for its intended use, except that common open space (outside the required $25 \%$ of recreation use area) containing natural features worthy of preservation may be left unimproved. The buildings, structures and improvements to be permitted in the common open spaces shall be appropriate to the uses, which are authorized for the open space.
